

Existence of attractor for wave equation with decay coefficient on \mathbb{R}^n

Tingting Hu, Tingting Liu, Qiaozhen Ma

Abstract—In this paper, by using the method called "asymptotic contractive process" on the time-dependent entire space, the existence of time-dependent attractor for the wave equation with decay coefficient on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is obtained.

Key words— wave equation, time-dependent attractor, asymptotic contractive process, un-bounded domain.

AMS Subject Classification: 35B25;37L30;45K05.

1 Introduction

We consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the following equations on \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(t)u_{tt} + \alpha u_t - \Delta u + \lambda u + f(x, u) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \geq \tau, \\ u(x, \tau) = u_0(x), u_t(x, \tau) = u_1(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u(x, t)$ is an unknown function, $\lambda > 0, \varepsilon = \varepsilon(t)$ is a decreasing bounded function and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(t) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Especially, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} [|\varepsilon(t)| + |\varepsilon'(t)|] \leq L. \quad (1.3)$$

The nonlinear term $f(x, s) \in C(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with $f(x, \cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and satisfies

$$f(\cdot, 0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x, 0) \right| \leq c_0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(x, \cdot) \right| \leq c_1(1 + |s|^2), \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, s) \right| \leq c_2, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\liminf_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial f(x, s)}{\partial s} > -\lambda_1, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.6)$$

$$(f(x, s) - f(x, 0)) \geq c_3 s^2, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \geq r_0 > 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where $c_i > 0, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \lambda_1$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator $A = -\Delta$.

Equation (1.1) can be seen as a nonlinear damped wave equation with time-dependent speed of

Tingting Hu, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China, 18093364636.

Tingting Liu, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China, 18093364636.

Qiaozhen Ma, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China, 13659416525.

propagation $\frac{1}{\varepsilon(t)}$. Besides, it can also be regarded as a

model for the thermal evolution in inhomogeneous isotropic (rigid) heat conductor according to the Maxwell-Cattaneo law [8] (see also [12, AppendixB]), with $\varepsilon(t)$ representing a time-dependent relaxation parameter.

When ε is only a positive constant in (1.1), the asymptotic behavior of solutions to equation (1.1) on bounded domains has been the object of extensive studies since the eighties (see, e.g. [8 – 16]).

For equation (1.1), in [1], Conti, Pata and Temam presented a notion of time-dependent attractor exploiting the minimality with respect to the pullback attraction property, and constructed a sufficient condition proving the existence of time-dependent attractor based on the theory established by Plinio, Duane and Temam ([5]). Meanwhile, within the new framework, on bounded domain, the authors studied the following weak damped wave equations with time-dependent speed of propagation

$$\varepsilon(t)u_{tt} + \alpha u_t - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x), x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.8)$$

in particular, they proved that the time-dependent global attractor of (1.8) converged in a suitable sense to the attractor of the parabolic equation $\alpha u_t - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x)$ when $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ([2]). Successively, in [3], they continued to show the existence of an invariant time-dependent global attractor to the following specific one-dimensional wave equation $\varepsilon(t)u_{tt} - u_{xx} + [1 + \varepsilon f'(u)]u_t + f(u) = h$, which converges in suitable sense to the classical Fourier equation.

Recently, Meng et al. investigated the long-time behavior of the solution for the wave equation with nonlinear damping $g(u_t)$ on the time-dependent space, in which they found a new technical method verifying compactness of the process via defining the contractive functions, see [6]. In [7], Meng and Liu also showed the necessary and sufficient conditions of the existence of time-dependent global attractor borrowed from the ideas in [17].

However, all researches about the time-dependent attractor were on bounded domain. In the recent, the authors gave a method called "asymptotic contractive process" to prove that the process is pullback asymptotic compactness on unbounded domain ([18]). So in this paper, we exploiting this new method to show the existence of time-dependent attractor for (1.1) on unbounded domain.

The rest of this article consists of four Sections. In the next Section, we define some functions sets and iterate some useful lemmas; in Section 3, we introduce the concept of asymptotic contractive process and a technique for verifying asymptotic compactness for the process is proposed; finally, dissipativity, tail estimate and the existence of the time-dependent global attractor is obtained on \mathbb{R}^3 in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Now we recall some basic notations and abstract results in [1,6,18], which are necessary for getting our main results.

2.1 Notations.

Without loss of generality, set $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$, respectively. For $0 \leq s \leq 2$, we define the hierarchy of nested Hilbert spaces

$$H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(A^{\frac{s}{2}}), \langle w, v \rangle_s = \langle A^{\frac{s}{2}} w, A^{\frac{s}{2}} v \rangle, \| w \|_s^2 = \langle A^{\frac{s}{2}} w, A^{\frac{s}{2}} w \rangle.$$

Now, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq s \leq 2$, write the following symbols,

$$H_t^s = H^{s+1} \times H^s,$$

with the norm

$$\| z \|_{H_t^s}^2 = \| (u, u_t) \|_{H_t^s}^2 = \| u \|_{s+1}^2 + \varepsilon(t) \| u_t \|_s^2.$$

The letter s is always omitted whenever zero. Especially, we consider the time-dependent phase space

$$H_t = H^1 \times H,$$

with the norm

$$\| z \|_{H_t}^2 = \| (u, u_t) \|_{H_t}^2 = \| u \|_2^2 + \varepsilon(t) \| u_t \|_1^2 + \| \nabla u \|_2^2 + \| u \|_2^2 + \varepsilon(t) \| u_t \|_1^2.$$

For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let X_t be a family of normed spaces, we introduce the ρ -ball of X_t

$$B_t(\rho) = \{ z \in X_t \mid \| z \|_{X_t} \leq \rho \},$$

We denote the Hausdorff semi-distance of two (nonempty) sets $B, C \in X_t$ by

$$\delta_t(B, C) = \sup_{x \in B} \text{dist}_{X_t}(x, C) = \sup_{x \in B} \inf_{y \in C} \| x - y \|_{X_t}.$$

For any given $\delta > 0$, the δ -neighbourhood of a set $B \subset X_t$ is defined as

$$O_t^\delta(B) = \bigcup_{x \in B} \{ y \in X_t \mid \| y - x \|_{X_t} < \delta \} = \bigcup_{x \in B} \{ x + B_t(\delta) \}.$$

2.2 Some concepts and abstract results.

Definition 2.1. ([1]). Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ > 0 be a family of normed spaces. A process is a two-parameter family of mappings $\{U(t, \tau): X_\tau \rightarrow X_t, t \geq \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with properties

(i) $U(\tau, \tau) = Id$ is the identity on $X_\tau, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$;

(ii) $U(t, s)U(s, \tau) = U(t, \tau), \forall t \geq s \geq \tau$

Definition 2.2. ([1]). A family $C = \{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of bounded sets $C_t \subset X_t$ is called uniformly bounded if there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ such that $C_t \subset B_t(\rho), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.4. ([1]). A (uniformly bounded) family $K = \{K_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called pullback attracting if for all $\delta > 0$, the family $\{O_t^\delta(K_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is pullback absorbing.

Definition 2.5. ([1]). The time-dependent global attractor for $U(t, \tau)$ is the smallest family $A = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with the following properties:

(i) Each A_t compact in X_t ;

(ii) A is pullback attracting, namely, it is uniformly bounded and the limit

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \delta_t(U(t, \tau)C_\tau, A_t) = 0,$$

holds for every uniformly bounded family $C = \{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.6. ([1]). The time-dependent attractor A exists and it is unique if and only if the process $U(t, \tau)$ is asymptotically compact, namely, the set

$$K = \{K = \{K_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} : K_t \subset X_t \text{ compact, } K \text{ pullback attracting}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.7. ([17]). Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a Banach space and $C = \{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a family of uniformly bounded subset of $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We call a function $\psi'_\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined on $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \times \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ to be a asymptotic contractive function on $C_t \times C_t$, if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_t$, such that for any $\delta > 0$, there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying:

$$\psi'_\tau(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}) \leq \delta + \phi'_\tau(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}),$$

where

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \phi'_\tau(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}) = 0, \tau \leq t.$$

Definition 2.8. ([17]). Let $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a process on $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and for any $\delta > 0$ there exist $\tau < T(\delta) \leq t, \psi'_\tau \in C(C_\tau)$, such that

$$\| U(t, T)x - U(t, T)y \|_{X_t} \leq \delta + \psi'_\tau(x, y), \forall x, y \in C_\tau,$$

for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called asymptotic contractive process.

Theorem 2.9. ([17]). Let $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a process in a family of Banach space $\{X_t\}_{t \in \square}$. Then $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ has a time-dependent global attractor $U^* = \{A_t^*\}_{t \in \square}$ in $\{X_t\}_{t \in \square}$ provided that the following conditions hold true:

- (i) $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ has a pullback absorbing family $B = \{B_t\}_{t \in \square}$;
- (ii) $U(\cdot, \cdot)$ is pullback asymptotic contractive process on B_t .

Let

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, y) dy.$$

Lemma 2.10. ([10, 11]). From (1.4), (1.6)–(1.7), for $0 < \nu < \min\{1, \lambda\}$, there exist $\bar{n}(\nu) > 0, c(\nu) \geq 0 (i=1, 2)$, such that the following inequalities hold for every $u \in H^1$:

$$2\langle F(x, u), 1 \rangle \geq -\nu \|u\|^2 - c(\nu), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\langle f(x, u), u \rangle + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 \geq \bar{n}(\nu) \|u\|^2 - c(\nu). \quad (2.2)$$

3 Dissipativity

Global existence of solution u to (1.1) is classical, by using the standard Galerkin approximation method ([1, 12, 16]), so we only give the following results and omit the proof.

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), for every pair of initial data $z_\tau \in H_\tau, g \in L^2(\square^3)$, there exists a unique solution $z(t) = (u, u_1)$ of problem (1.1) in space H_t and satisfy

$$z \in C([\tau, t]; H_t) \cap L^\infty([\tau, t]; H_t^1).$$

Furthermore, let $z_i(\tau) \in H_\tau$ be the initial data such that $\|z_i(\tau)\|_{H_\tau} \leq \rho (i=1, 2)$, and $z_i(t)$ be the solution of problem (1.1). Then there exists $K = K(\rho) > 0$, such that

$$\|z_i(t) - z_i(t_0)\|_{H_t} \leq e^{K(t-t_0)} \|z_i(t_0) - z_i(t_0)\|_{H_t}, \forall t \geq t_0. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), $g \in L^2(\square^3)$, for any initial data $z_\tau \in B_\tau(\rho_0) \subset H_\tau$, there exists $\rho > 0$, such that

$$\|U(t, \tau)z(\tau)\|_{H_t} \leq \rho, \forall t \geq \tau.$$

Proof Multiplying (1.1) by $v = u + \delta u$ and integrating over \square^3 , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + I(t) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \|\nabla u\|^2 + \lambda \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2 + 2\langle F(x, u), 1 \rangle - 2\langle g, u \rangle, \\ I(t) &= (2(\alpha - \delta \varepsilon(t)) - \varepsilon'(t)) \|v\|^2 + 2\delta \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2\delta \lambda \|u\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\delta(\alpha - \delta \varepsilon(t)) \langle u, v \rangle + 2\delta \langle f(x, u), u \rangle - 2\delta \langle g, u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

integrating (3.2) over $[\tau, t]$, there holds

$$E(t) = -\int_\tau^t I(s) ds + E(\tau). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{\alpha}{6L}, \frac{\lambda + 4\bar{n}(\nu)}{2\alpha}\}$, such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} - 2\delta \varepsilon(t) > \delta \varepsilon(t), \frac{3}{2} \delta \lambda + 2\delta \bar{n}(\nu) - \delta^2 \alpha > \delta \lambda,$$

then by (2.2), Hölder, Young inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &\geq \delta(2 - \nu) \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2\delta(\lambda + \bar{n}(\nu)) \|u\|^2 + (2\alpha - 2\delta \varepsilon(t)) \|v\|^2 \\ &\quad - (\alpha \|v\|^2 + \delta^2 \alpha \|u\|^2) - (\frac{\delta \lambda}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{2\delta}{\lambda} \|g\|^2) - 2\delta c_2 \\ &\geq \delta \nu \|\nabla u\|^2 + (\frac{3}{2} \delta \lambda + 2\delta \bar{n}(\nu) - \delta^2 \alpha) \|u\|^2 + (\alpha - 2\delta \varepsilon(t)) \|v\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2\delta}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 - 2\delta c_2 \\ &\geq \delta \nu \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{2\delta}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 - 2\delta c_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

combining with (2.2) there holds

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\geq \|\nabla u\|^2 + (\frac{3}{4} \lambda - \nu) \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2 - (\frac{4}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 + c_1) \\ &\geq \frac{\nu}{2} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2) - (\frac{4}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 + c_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Together with (3.3), (3.4)–(3.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\nu}{2} (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2) - m_1 \\ &\leq -\int_\tau^t [\delta \nu (\|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|v\|^2) - m_2] dr + E(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $m_1 = (\frac{4}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 + c_1), m_2 = \frac{2\delta}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 + 2\delta c_2$. So, for any

$\rho_0 > \frac{m_2}{\delta \nu}$, there exists $t_0 > \tau$ such that

$$\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2 + \|u(t_0)\|^2 + \varepsilon(t_0) \|v(t_0)\|^2 \leq \rho_0.$$

As a result, let $B_t = \bigcup_{t \geq \tau} U(t, \tau) B_0$, where

$$B_0 = \{(u_0, u_1) \in H_\tau \mid \|u_0\|_1^2 + \varepsilon(\tau) \|u_1\|^2 \leq \rho_0\},$$

then B_t is a bounded absorbing set for process $\{U(t, \tau)\}$.

On the other hand, from the above discussion, there exist a positive constant ρ such that

$$\|u\|_1^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|u_1\|^2 \leq \rho, \forall t \geq t_0 > \tau. \quad \square$$

From Lemma 4.2, we can get the following conclusion:

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), for $\rho_1 > 0$ in Lemma 4.2, such that $B = \{B_t(\rho_1)\}$ is a time-dependent absorbing sets for the process $\{U(t, \tau)\}$ associated with (1.1) then for some $R_0 \geq \rho_0$, there have

$$\sup_{z \in B_\tau(\rho_1)} \|U(t, \tau)z(\tau)\|_{H_t} + \int_\tau^\infty \|v(y)\|^2 dy \leq R_0, \forall t \in \square \quad (3.7)$$

Proof Combining with (3.2), (3.4) with $\delta = 0$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|v\|^2 \leq \frac{2\delta}{\lambda} \|g\|^2 + 2\delta c_2,$$

integrate on $[\tau, +\infty)$, we can easily conclude that (3.7) is true. \square

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), $g \in H^1(\square^3)$, for any initial data $z(\tau) \in B_\tau(R_0) \subset H_\tau^1$, there exists $\rho_2 > 0$, such that

$$\varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \|A_{tll}\|^2 \leq \rho_1, \forall \tau \leq t. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof Multiplying (1.1) by $Av = Au_t + \delta Au$ and integrating over \square^n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(\varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2) - \varepsilon'(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 \\ & + 2(\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - 2\delta(\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \langle u, Av \rangle + 2\delta \|A_{tll}\|^2 \\ & + 2\delta \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 + 2 \langle f(x, u), Av \rangle = 2 \langle g, Av \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.8) Hölder, Young inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 2 | \langle f(x, u), Av \rangle | &= 2 \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle + 2 \langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle \\ &\leq 2 |f'(u)| \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\| \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 + \frac{4I^2}{\alpha} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$2 | \langle g, Av \rangle | \leq 2 \|g(x)\|_{H^1(\square^3)} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha} \|g(x)\|_{H^1(\square^3)}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\delta \|A_{tll}\|^2 + (2\alpha - 2\delta\varepsilon(t)) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - \varepsilon'(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - \\ & 2\delta(\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \langle u, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle \\ & \geq 2\delta \|A_{tll}\|^2 + (2\alpha - 2\delta\varepsilon(t)) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - [\frac{\alpha}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + 2\delta^2 \alpha \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2] \\ & \geq 2\delta \|A_{tll}\|^2 + (\frac{3}{2}\alpha - 2\delta\varepsilon(t)) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - 2\delta^2 \alpha \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 \\ & \geq \delta \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \alpha \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 - 2\delta^2 \alpha \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Combining with the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}[\varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2] + \delta(\varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 \\ & + \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{4}{\alpha} \|g\|_{H^1(\square^3)}^2 + (\frac{4I^2}{\alpha} + 2\delta^2\alpha) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

applying the Gronwall Lemma to (3.10) over $[\tau, t]$ and combining with the above equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 \\ & \leq e^{-\delta(t-\tau)} [\varepsilon(\tau) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v_\tau\|^2 + \|u_0\|^2 + \lambda \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|^2] + \\ & (\frac{4}{\alpha} \|g\|_{H^1(\square^3)}^2 + (\frac{4I^2}{\alpha} + 2\delta^2\alpha) \cdot R_0^2) \\ & \leq \rho_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_1 = 2(\frac{4}{\alpha} \|g\|_{H^1(\square^3)}^2 + (\frac{4I^2}{\alpha} + 2\delta^2\alpha) \cdot R_0^2)$. Then the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), for $\rho_2 > 0$ in Lemma 3.4, there exists $R_1 \geq \max\{\rho_2, \frac{2\rho_1}{\alpha}\}$, such that

$$\sup_{z \in B_\tau(R_0)} \{\varepsilon(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 + \|A_{tll}\|^2 + \int_\tau^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v(y)\|^2 dy\} \leq R_1, \forall t \in \square. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof Integrating (3.10) on $[\tau, t]$ with $\delta = 0$, we get $\int_\tau^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v(y)\|^2 dy \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \cdot \rho_1 > 0$. Then together with Lemma 3.4 we conclude that (3.11) is true. \square

Lemma 3.6. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), $g \in L^2(\square^3)$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist $T_1 = T_1(\delta)$, as $t \geq T_1$ and $K = K(\delta) > 0$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega_k^c} (\varepsilon(t) |v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx \leq C\delta, \forall t \geq T_1, k \geq K(\delta),$$

where $\Omega_k^c = \{x \in \square^n : |x| \geq k\}$, C is a positive constant.

Proof Choosing a smooth function θ such that $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq 1$, for any $s \in \square^+$, and $\theta(s) = 0$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, $\theta(s) = 1$, for $s \geq 2$.

Then there exists a positive constant \tilde{C}_0 , such that $\max\{|\theta'(s)|, |\theta''(s)|\} \leq \tilde{C}_0$ for any $s \in \square^+$.

Multiplying (1.1) by $\theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2})v$ and integrating over \square^3 ,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} [\int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \cdot (\varepsilon(t) |v|^2 + \lambda |u|^2) dx] + (2\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \\ & - \varepsilon'(t) \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \cdot \varepsilon'(t) |v|^2 dx - 2\delta(\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \\ & \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \cdot u \cdot v dx - 2 \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) \Delta u \cdot v dx \\ & + 2\delta \lambda \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) |u|^2 dx \\ & = -2 \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) f(x, u) v dx + 2 \int_{\square^n} \theta(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}) g(x) v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now we deal with each term in the above equation:

First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2 \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) \Delta u \cdot v dx \\
 & = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2\delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 & + 2 \int_{\square^n} \frac{2|x|}{k^2} \nabla u \cdot v \cdot \theta'\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) dx \geq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 & + 2\delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{4\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \int_{|x| < \sqrt{2}k} |\nabla u| \cdot |v| dx \\
 & \geq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2\delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \left[\int_{\square^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\square^n} |v|^2 dx \right] \\
 & \geq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2\delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} R_0^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \|v\|^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |2\delta(\alpha - \delta\varepsilon(t)) \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) \cdot uv dx| \\
 & \leq 2\delta\alpha \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |u| \cdot |v| dx \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |v|^2 dx + 2\delta^2\alpha \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |u|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) f(x,u) v dx \\
 & = 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) F(x,u) dx + 2\delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) f(x,u) u dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \left| \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) f(x,u) v dx \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |v|^2 dx + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |g|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Combining with the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2 + 2F(x,u)) dx \right] \\
 & + \delta \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2 + 2\delta f(x,u) u) dx \\
 & \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} R_0^2 + 2\delta^2\alpha \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |u|^2 dx + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |g|^2 dx \\
 & + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \|v\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $k_1(\delta) > 0$, and any $0 < \delta < 1$, such that if $k \geq k_1(\delta)$, then

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} R_0^2 < \delta,$$

holds, and there there exist $k_2(\delta) > 0$, such that if $k \geq k_2(\delta)$,

we have

$$C \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |u|^2 dx < \delta.$$

since $g \in H_0^1(\square^n)$, so there exist $k_3(\delta) > 0$, such that

$$\frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) |g|^2 dx < \delta,$$

hold for any $k \geq k_3(\delta)$.

So there exist $k_0 = \max\{k_1(\delta), k_2(\delta), k_3(\delta)\}$, if $k \geq k_0$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2) dx \right] + \\
 & \delta \left[\int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2) dx \right] \\
 & \leq 3\delta + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \|v\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Gronwall Lemma on $[\tau, t]$, and Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.5, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2) dx \\
 & \leq e^{-\delta(t-\tau)} \int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u_\tau|^2 + \lambda |u_\tau|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v_\tau|^2) dx \\
 & + \frac{3\delta}{\nu} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} \int_\tau^t e^{-\delta(t-s)} \|v\|^2 ds \\
 & \leq \rho_0 e^{-\delta(t-\tau)} + \frac{3\delta}{\nu} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}_0}{k} R_0^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

so for given $\delta > 0$ above, setting $K = K(\delta)$ there exist $T_1 = T_1(\delta)$, as $t \geq T_1$ and $k \geq K(\delta)$, we have

$$\int_{\square^n} \theta\left(\frac{|x|^2}{k^2}\right) (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2) dx \leq \frac{6\delta}{\nu}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_k^\varepsilon} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + \varepsilon(t) |v|^2) dx \leq \frac{6\delta}{\hat{\sigma}\nu} = C\delta,$$

where $\hat{\sigma} = \min\{1, \lambda\}$. □

4 Existence of the time-dependent global attractor on H_t

Theorem 4.1. Under the conditions (1.2)–(1.7), the process $U(t, \tau) : H_\tau \rightarrow H_t$ generated by problem (1.1) has a invariant time-dependent global attractor $A = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in $H^1(\square^3) \times L^2(\square^3)$.

In the following, we will obtain the existence of the time-dependent attractor for system (1.1) by using the method of asymptotic contractive function. □

Lemma 4.2. Under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.7), $g \in H^1(\square^3)$, (u_n, v_n) be the solution corresponding to initial data $(u_0^n, v_0^n) \in B_\tau$ for the problem (1.1). Then for any $k > 0$ and $T(\delta) > 0$ be given, let

$$\Omega_k^\varepsilon = \{x \in \square^3 : |x| < k\},$$

we can have:

(i) $u_n \rightarrow u^*$ – weakly in $L^\infty(T, t; L^6(\Omega_k))$;

(ii) $u_n \rightarrow u_t^*$ – weakly in $L^\infty(T, t; L^2(\Omega_k))$;

- (iii) $u_n \rightarrow u$ strong in $L^2(T, t; L^2(\Omega_k))$;
- (iv) $u_n(T) \rightarrow u(T)$ and $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ strong in $L^2(\Omega_k), L^4(\Omega_k)$.

Proof From (4.7), (4.11), $\|u_n\|_2^2 + \varepsilon(\xi)\|u_n\|_2^2$ is

bounded, where the bound depends on the T , furthermore, $\|u_n\|_2^2$ is bounded. Moreover, by (1.2) for fixed $T, \xi \in [T, t], \varepsilon(\xi)$ is bounded, hence $\|u_n\|_2^2$ is bounded. Then according to Alaoglu Theorem, and use the continuous embedding $H_0^1(\Omega_k) \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega_k)$, compact embedding $H_0^1(\Omega_k) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega_k)$, $H_0^1(\Omega_k) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Omega_k)$, the result can be obtained. \square

4.1 A priori estimates

Let $(u_i(t), u_i(t))$ be the corresponding solution of (1.1) with initial datum $(u_0^i(\tau), v_0^i(\tau)) \in \{B_\tau\}_{\tau \in \square}$, and

$$w = u_1(t) - u_2(t),$$

then $w(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon(t)\omega_n + \alpha\omega_n - \square \omega + \lambda\omega + f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2) = 0, t > T, \\ \omega(x, T) = u_0^1(T) - u_0^2(T), \omega_t(x, T) = v_0^1(T) - v_0^2(T), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Denote

$$E_w(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\|\nabla w\|^2 + \lambda\|w\|^2 + \varepsilon(t)\|w_t\|^2].$$

Taking the inner product (4.1) with w_t in $L^2(\square^3)$ we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_w(t) + (\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon'(t)}{2})\|w_t\|^2 + \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), w_t(\xi) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Integrating (4.2) over $[s, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_w(t) - E_w(s) + \int_s^t (\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon'(\xi)}{2})\|w_t(\xi)\|^2 d\xi \\ + \int_s^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), w_t(\xi) \rangle d\xi = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $T \leq s \leq t$. For $L < 2\alpha$, where L is the bound of $\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon'(t)$, then we can get

$$\int_T^t \varepsilon(\xi)\|\omega_t(\xi)\|^2 d\xi \leq E_w(T) - \int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega_t(\xi) \rangle ds. \quad (4.4)$$

Then multiplying (4.1) by ω , and integrating over $\square^3 \times [T, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^t \|\nabla \omega(s)\|^2 + \lambda\|\omega(s)\|^2 ds + \varepsilon(t)\langle \omega_t(t), \omega(t) \rangle \\ = \langle \varepsilon(T)\omega_t(T), \omega(T) \rangle - \int_T^t (\alpha - \varepsilon'(s))\langle \omega_t(s), \omega(s) \rangle ds \\ + \int_T^t \varepsilon(s)\|\omega_t(s)\|^2 ds - \int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, from (4.4) and (4.5), yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int_T^t E_w(s) \leq 2E_w(T) - 2\int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega_t(s) \rangle ds \\ - \int_T^t (\alpha - \varepsilon'(s))\langle \omega_t(s), \omega(s) \rangle ds - \varepsilon(t)\langle \omega_t(t), \omega(t) \rangle \\ + \varepsilon(T)\langle \omega_t(T), \omega(T) \rangle - \int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Integrating (4.3) over $[T, t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t-T)E_w(t) + \int_T^t (\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon'(\xi)}{2})\|\omega_t(\xi)\|^2 d\xi ds \\ = -\int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1(\xi)) - f(x, u_2(\xi)), \omega_t(\xi) \rangle d\xi ds + \int_T^t E_w(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

together with (4.6), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} (t-T)E_w(t) \leq E_w(T) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \varepsilon(T)\omega_t(T), \omega(T) \rangle \\ - \frac{1}{2}\langle \varepsilon(t)\omega_t(t), \omega(t) \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_T^t (\alpha - \varepsilon'(s))\langle \omega_t(s), \omega(s) \rangle ds \\ - \frac{1}{2}\int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega(s) \rangle ds \\ - \int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega_t(s) \rangle ds \\ - \int_T^t \int_s^t \langle f(x, u_1(\xi)) - f(x, u_2(\xi)), \omega_t(\xi) \rangle d\xi ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_T'((u_0^1(T), v_0^1(T)), (u_0^2(T), v_0^2(T))) \\ = -\frac{1}{2(t-T)}\langle \varepsilon(t)\omega_t, \omega \rangle - \frac{1}{2(t-T)}\int_T^t (\alpha - \varepsilon'(s))\langle \omega_t(s), \omega(s) \rangle ds \\ - \frac{1}{2(t-T)}\int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega(s) \rangle ds \\ - \frac{1}{t-T}\int_T^t \langle f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2), \omega_t(s) \rangle ds \\ - \frac{1}{t-T}\int_T^t \int_s^t \langle f(x, u_1(\xi)) - f(x, u_2(\xi)), \omega_t(\xi) \rangle d\xi ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$C_M = E_w(T) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \varepsilon(T)\omega_t(T), \omega(T) \rangle, \quad (4.10)$$

then we have

$$E_w(t) \leq \frac{C_M}{t-T} + \psi_T'((u_0^1(T), v_0^1(T)), (u_0^2(T), v_0^2(T))). \quad (4.11)$$

4.2 Asymptotically compact

Theorem 4.3. Under the assumption (1.2) – (1.7), then the process $\{U(t, \tau)\}$ is a asymptotic contractive process, that is, for any fixed $t \in \square$, bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X_{\tau_n}$ and

any $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \square^{-t}$, with $\tau_n \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, sequence $\{U(t, \tau_n)x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is precompact in $H^1(\square^n) \times L^2(\square^n)$.

Proof Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_T'((u_0^1(T), v_0^1(T)), (u_0^2(T), v_0^2(T))) = -\frac{1}{2(t-T)}\int_{\Omega_k} \varepsilon(t)\omega_t \cdot \omega dx \\ - \frac{1}{2(t-T)}\int_T^t (\alpha - \varepsilon'(s))\int_{\Omega_k} \omega_t(s) \cdot \omega(s) dx ds \\ - \frac{1}{2(t-T)}\int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k} (f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2)) \cdot \omega(s) dx ds \\ - \frac{1}{t-T}\int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k} (f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2)) \cdot \omega_t(s) dx ds \\ - \frac{1}{t-T}\int_T^t \int_s^t \int_{\Omega_k} (f(x, u_1(\xi)) - f(x, u_2(\xi))) \cdot \omega_t(\xi) dx d\xi ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

From Lemma 3.6, as m, n large enough, and by Hölder, Young inequalities, we can have

$$\int_{\Omega_k^t} \varepsilon(t)(u_{n_i}(t) - u_{m_i}(t))(u_n(t) - u_m(t))dx \leq L \|u_{n_i}(t) - u_{m_i}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^t)} \|u_n(t) - u_m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^t)} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}, \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k^s} (u_{n_i}(s) - u_{m_i}(s))(u_n(s) - u_m(s))dxds \leq \sup_{T \leq s \leq t} \|u_{n_i}(s) - u_{m_i}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^s)} \|u_n(s) - u_m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^s)} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \quad (4.14)$$

Similar as m, n large enough

$$\int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k^s} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_m))(u_n(s) - u_m(s))dxds \leq l \sup_{T \leq s \leq t} \|u_{n_i}(s) - u_{m_i}(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^s)} \|u_n(s) - u_m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega_k^s)} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \quad (4.15)$$

Also we can obtain that as m, n large enough

$$\int_T^t \int_s^t \int_{\Omega_k^\xi} (u_{n_i}(\xi) - u_{m_i}(\xi))(f(x, u_n(\xi)) - f(x, u_m(\xi)))dx d\xi ds \leq \frac{\delta}{4}. \quad (4.16)$$

So we can get

$$\psi_T^t((u_n^1(T), v_n^1(T)), (u_m^2(T), v_m^2(T))) \leq \delta + \phi_T^t((u_n^1(T), v_n^1(T)), (u_m^2(T), v_m^2(T))) \quad (4.17)$$

Next, for any fixed $\delta > 0$, and some fixed t , let $T < t$ such that $t - T$ so large that

$$\frac{C_M}{t - T} < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Hence, from definition 3.1, 3.2, we only need to verify that ϕ_T^t in (4.17) is the contractive function for each fixed T .

Now, we will deal with each term in (4.12) one by one.

Firstly, from Lemma 3.2 and (i)–(iv) in Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} \varepsilon(t)(u_{n_i}(t) - u_{m_i}(t))(u_n(t) - u_m(t))dx = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k} L(u_{n_i}(s) - u_{m_i}(s))(u_n(s) - u_m(s))dxds = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_m))(u_n(s) - u_m(s))dxds = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Similar to [4,16], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \int_{\Omega_k} (f(x, u_n) - f(x, u_m))(u_{n_i}(s) - u_{m_i}(s))dxds = 0,$$

At the same time, for each fixed t ,

$$|\int_s^t \int_{\Omega_k} (u_{n_i}(\xi) - u_{m_i}(\xi))(f(x, u_n(\xi)) - f(x, u_m(\xi)))dx d\xi| \quad \text{is}$$

bounded, then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \int_s^t \int_{\Omega_k} (u_{n_i}(\xi) - u_{m_i}(\xi))(f(x, u_n(\xi)) - f(x, u_m(\xi)))dx d\xi ds \\ &= \int_T^t (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_s^t \int_{\Omega_k} (u_{n_i}(\xi) - u_{m_i}(\xi))(f(x, u_n(\xi)) - f(x, u_m(\xi)))dx d\xi) ds \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Hence, collecting all (4.18)–(4.21), we get that ϕ_T^t is the contractive function, so ϕ_T^t is asymptotic contractive function, then from (4.21) we know that the process is asymptotical contractive process. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1 From Lemma 3.3–Lemma 3.6, and Theorem 4.3, Theorem 2.9 we can easily obtain the result. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and the editors for their valuable suggestions and comments.

Funding

This work was supported by the NSF of China (11961059).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Conti, V. Pata, R. Temam, Attractors for processes on time-dependent spaces. Applications to wave equations, J. Differ. Equ, 255 (2013) 1254-1277.
- [2] M. Conti, V. Pata, Asymptotic structure of the attractor for processes on time-dependentspaces, Nonl. Anal. RWA 19 (2014) 1-10.
- [3] M. Conti, V. Pata, On the time-dependent Cattaneo law in space dimension one, Appl. Math. Comput. 259 (2015) 32-44.
- [4] Q.Z. Ma, J. Wang, T.T. Liu, Time-dependent asymptotic behavior of the solution for wave equations with linear memory, Comput. Appl. Math 76 (2018) 1372-1387.
- [5] D. Plinio, G. S. Duane, R. Temam, Time-Dependent attractor for the oscillon equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 29 (2011) 141-167.
- [6] F.J. Meng, M.H. Yang, C.K. Zhong, Attractors for wave equations with nonlinear damping on timedependent space, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 1 (2016) 205-225.
- [7] F.J. Meng, C.C. Liu, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of time-dependent global attractor and application, J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017) 1-9.
- [8] A.V. Babin, M.I. Vishik, Attractors of partial differential evolution equation in an unbounded domain, Proc R Soc Edinburgh 116A (1990) 221-243.
- [9] N.I. Karachalios, N.M. Stavrakakis, Existence of a global attractor for semilinear dissipative wave equation on \square^N , J. Differ. Equ, 157(1999) 183-205.
- [10] V. Pata, Attractors for a damped wave equation on \square^3 with linear memory, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 23(2000) 633-653.

- [11]Z.J. Yang, P.Y. Ding, Longtime dynamics of the Kirchhoff equation with strong damping and critical nonlinearity on \mathbb{R}^N , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 434 (2016) 1826-1851.
- [12]A.V. Babin, M.I. Vishik, Attractors of Evolution Equations, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [13]C.Y. Sun, D.M. Cao, J.Q. Duan, Non-autonomous dynamics of wave equations with nonlinear damping and critical nonlinearity, Nonlinearity 19 (2006) 2645-2665.
- [14]C. Y. Sun, M. H. Yang, C. K. Zhong, Global attractors for the wave equation with nonlinear damping, J. Differ. Equ, 227 (2006), 427-423.
- [15]C. Y. Sun, D. M. Cao, J. Q. Duan, Uniform attractors for nonautonomous wave equations with nonlinear damping, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 6 (2007), 293-318.
- [16]J.C. Robinson, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, NewYork, 2001.
- [17]Q. F. Ma, S. H. Wang and C. K. Zhong, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of global attractor for semigroups and applications, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 51 (2002), 1541-1559.
- [18]T. T. Liu, Q. Z. Ma, Time-dependent attractor for plate equations on, \mathbb{R}^n J. Math. Anal. Appl., 479 (2019) 315-332.