

Uniqueness of Bounded Variation Solutions for Measure Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay

Baolin Li, Yinxing Yang

Abstract—By using Henstock-Kurzweil integral, the uniqueness theorems of bounded variation solutions for measure functional differential equations with infinite delay are established. This result generalizes theorem concerning uniqueness in Lebesgue integral setting to a Henstock-Kurzweil integral setting.

Index Terms—measure functional differential equations with infinite delay; Henstock-Kurzweil integral; bounded variation solution; uniqueness.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Henstock-Kurzweil integral encompasses the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals [1]. The integral was introduced by Henstock and Kurzweil independently in 1957-1958 and was proved useful in the study of ordinary differential equations (see [2]).

Measure functional differential equations with infinite delay have the form

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s, s) dg(s), \\ x(t_0) = \phi, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

which have been introduced in the paper [3] by slavík, where x is an unknown function with values in \mathbb{R}^n and the symbol x_s denotes the function $x_s(\tau) = x(s + \tau)$ defined on $[-r, 0]$, $r \geq 0$ being a fixed number corresponding to the length of the delay. The integral on the right-hand side of (1) is the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral with respect to a nondecreasing function g . We consider that the integrands f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable and ϕ is a regulated function.

Let $G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of regulated functions $x: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, that is, the lateral limits

$$x(t+) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0_+} x(t + \rho), t \in [a, b)$$

and

$$x(t-) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0_-} x(t + \rho), t \in (a, b],$$

exist and are finite. $G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ which is a Banach

space when endowed with the norm $\|\phi\| = \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \|\phi(t)\|$

for all $\|\phi\| \in G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, any function in

$G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the uniform limit of step functions.

Define

$$G^-([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n) : u \text{ is left continuous at every } t \in (a, b) \right\}.$$

In $G^-([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the norm induced by

$G^-([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ the

space of functions $x: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which are of bounded

variation. In $BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the variation

norm given by $\|x\|_{BV} = \|x(a)\| + \text{Var}_a^b x$, where $\text{Var}_a^b x$

stands for the variation of x in the interval $[a, b]$. Then

$(BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{BV})$ is a Banach space and $BV([a,$

$b], \mathbb{R}^n) \subset G([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$. When $x \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$

is also left continuous, we write $x \in BV^-([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

It is clear that for a function $x \in G^-([-\infty, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $x_t \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$.

Let $x \in G^-([-\infty, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following property: if $x = x(t)$, $t \in (-\infty, t_0 + \sigma]$, is an element of G_1 and $\bar{t} \in (-\infty, t_0 + \sigma]$, then \bar{x} given by

$$\bar{x}(t) = \begin{cases} x(t), & -\infty \leq t \leq \bar{t}, \\ x(\bar{t}+), & \bar{t} < t \leq t_0 + \sigma \end{cases}$$

Also belongs to G_1 .

Let $H_1 \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\{x_t \mid t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], x \in G_1\} \subset H_1.$$

The existence of bounded variation solutions for measure functional differential equation with infinite delay were obtained in [4]. On this basis, the uniqueness of bounded variation solutions for measure functional differential equation

Baolin Li, College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou Gansu 730070, China.

Yinxing Yang, College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou Gansu 730070, China.

with infinite delay is discussed in this paper.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let $[a, b]$ be a compact interval in \mathbb{R} and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $\delta(\xi)$ be a positive function on $[a, b]$, i.e.

$\delta(\xi) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We say $D = \{([t_{i-1}, t_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ is δ -fine of $[a, b]$ if $\xi_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i] \subset (\xi_i - \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition.1^[1]

A function $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be

Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on $[a, b]$ if there exists an

$I \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists

$\delta(\xi) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every δ -fine partition

$D = \{([t_{i-1}, t_i], \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^m$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m u(\xi_i)(t_i - t_{i-1}) - I \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

We denote the Henstock-Kurzweil integral (also write as H-

K integral) I by (H-K) $\int_a^b u(s) ds$.

Definition.2^[4]

Assume $f(x_t, t) : H_1 \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the following conditions:

(A) Then exists a positive function $\delta(\tau) : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every $[u, v]$ satisfy $\tau \in [u, v] \subset (\tau - \delta(\tau), \tau + \delta(\tau)) \subset [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ and $x \in G_1$, we have

$$\|f(x_\tau, \tau)(g(v) - g(u))\| < |h(v) - h(u)|.$$

(B) For every $[u, v]$ satisfy $\tau \in [u, v] \subset (\tau - \delta(\tau), \tau + \delta(\tau)) \subset [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ and $x, y \in G_1$, we have

$$\|f(x_\tau, \tau) - f(y_\tau, \tau)\|(g(v) - g(u)) < \omega(\|x_\tau - y_\tau\|)|h(v) - h(u)|,$$

where $h : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function and

continuous the left. $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and increasing function with $\omega(0) = 0, \omega(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$.

Definition.3^[4]

Let $\Omega \subset H_1 \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$ be open. Assume that function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Caratheodory function and belongs to the class $W(\Omega, h, \omega)$ if f satisfies the condition (A),

(B).

Lemma.1^[5]

Assume that $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a bounded function on $[a, b]$, $h : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a nondecreasing function and continuous from the left on the interval $[a, b]$, $\omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and increasing function with $\omega(0) = 0, \omega(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$. Assume

$$F(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr, \tag{2}$$

for $u > 0$, where $u_0 > 0$. $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a increasing function, $F(u_0) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} F(u) = \alpha \geq -\infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} F(u) = \beta \leq +\infty.$$

Assume the inequality

$$\psi(\xi) \leq k + \int_a^\xi \omega(\psi(t)) dh(t)$$

holds for $\xi \in [a, b]$, where k is a constant and $k > 0$.

If $F(k) + h(b) - h(a) < \beta$, then the inequality

$$\psi(\xi) \leq F^{-1}[F(k) + h(\xi) - h(a)] \tag{3}$$

holds for $\xi \in [a, b]$, where $F^{-1} : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the inverse function to F form (2).

III. PRIME RESULT

Definition.1

A bounded variation solution $x : [-\infty, t_0 + \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of (1) is said to be locally unique for increasing values of t if for any solution $y : [-\infty, t_0 + \alpha] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha > 0$ of (1) with $y_{t_0} = x_{t_0} = \phi$ there exists $\eta_1 > 0$ such that $x(t) = y(t)$ for $t \in [-\infty, t_0 + \eta] \cap [-\infty, t_0 + \alpha] \cap [-\infty, t_0 + \eta_1]$.

Theorem.1

Assume that $f \in W(\Omega, h, \omega)$, where h is a function and continuous from the left, $\omega : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and increasing function with $\omega(0) = 0, \omega(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, and for $u > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \int_v^u \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr = +\infty. \tag{4}$$

Then every bounded variation solution $x = x(t)$ with

$x_{t_0} = \phi$ of (1) is locally unique for increasing values of t ,

$(t_0, \phi) \in \Omega$.

Proof Assume that $x, y : [-\infty, t_0 + \eta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are two bounded variation solution of (1) with $x_{t_0} = y_{t_0} = \phi$, where $\eta > 0$. Then

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| = \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] dg(s) \right\|, \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \eta. \quad (5)$$

For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive function $\delta(\tau) : [t_0, t] \rightarrow R^+$, such that for any $\delta(\tau)$ -fine partition

$D = \{([t_{i-1}, t_i], \tau_i)\}_{i=1}^m$. By Definition 1 and condition (B), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] dg(s) \right\| \leq \\ & \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] dg(s) - \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{i=1}^m [f(x_{\tau_i}, \tau_i) - f(y_{\tau_i}, \tau_i)] (g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})) \right\| + \\ & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m [f(x_{\tau_i}, \tau_i) - f(y_{\tau_i}, \tau_i)] (g(t_i) - g(t_{i-1})) \right\| < \\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \omega(\|x_{\tau_i} - y_{\tau_i}\|) (h(t_i) - h(t_{i-1})). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Owing to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \omega(\|x_{\tau_i} - y_{\tau_i}\|) (h(t_i) - h(t_{i-1})) \leq \\ & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \omega(\|x_{\tau_i} - y_{\tau_i}\|) (h(t_i) - h(t_{i-1})) - \right. \\ & \left. \int_{t_0}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) \right\| + \\ & \int_{t_0}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) < \\ & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \int_{t_0}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

By (5)-(7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t) - y(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(x_s, s) - f(y_s, s)] dg(s) \right\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \int_{t_0}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) \\ &< \int_{t_0}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) + \\ & \int_{t_0+\delta}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s), \end{aligned}$$

Where $0 < \delta < t - t_0$.

According to [5], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0+\delta}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) = \\ & \omega(\|x_{t_0} - y_{t_0}\|) [h(t_0 +) - h(t_0)] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_0^+} \int_{t_1}^{t_0+\delta} \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s) \leq$$

$$\sup_{s \in (t_0, t_0+\delta]} \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) (h(t_0 +) - h(t_0)) = A(\delta),$$

because of $h(t_0 +)$ exists, then $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} A(\delta) = 0$. Thus

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq A(\delta) + \int_{t_0+\delta}^t \omega(\|x_s - y_s\|) dh(s),$$

where $t \in [t_0 + \delta, t_0 + \eta]$.

For given $u_0 > 0$, set $F(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr$. Then the

inequality

$$\|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq F^{-1}(FA(\delta) + h(t) - h(t_0 + \delta))$$

holds, where

$$\begin{aligned} & t \in [t_0 + \delta, t_0 + \eta], F(A(\delta)) + h(t) - h(t_0 + \delta) < \beta, \\ & \beta = \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} F(u) \leq +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(A(\delta)) + h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 + \delta) \leq \\ & F(A(\delta)) + h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +), \end{aligned}$$

and for

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} A(\delta) = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} F(u) = -\infty.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} F(A(\delta)) + h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +) = -\infty.$$

Therefore there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ the

inequality $F(A(\delta)) + h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +) < \beta$ holds,

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\|x_t - y_t\|) - A(\delta) \leq h(t) - h(t_0 + \delta) \\ & \leq h(t) - h(t_0 +). \end{aligned}$$

According the definition of function F , for

$t \in [t_0 + \delta, t_0 + \eta]$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, we have

$$\int_{A(\delta)}^{\|x(t) - y(t)\|} \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr \leq h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +).$$

If $\|x(t^*) - y(t^*)\| = k > 0$ for some $t^* \in (t_0, t_0 + \eta]$,

then for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $\delta < t^* - t_0$, we have

$$\int_{A(\delta)}^k \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr \leq h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +) < +\infty,$$

thus

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{A(\delta)}^k \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr \leq h(t_0 + \eta) - h(t_0 +) < \infty,$$

this is in contradiction with the function ω , so

$\|x(t) - y(t)\| = 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \eta]$. Therefore, the

theorem is proved.

Corollary.1

If $f \in W(\Omega, h, \omega)$, where $\omega(r) = Lr, r \geq 0, L \geq 0$, then the bounded variation solution of (1) which satisfies $(t_0, \phi) \in \Omega$ is locally unique for increasing values of t .

Proof For $u > 0$, obviously

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \int_v^u \frac{1}{\omega(r)} dr = \lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{L} \ln \frac{u}{v} = +\infty,$$

the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then the corollary holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Y. Lee. Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock integration [M]. Singapore: World Scientific, 1989.
- [2] J. Kurzweil. Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter [J]. Czechoslovak Math J, 1957, 82(7):418-448.
- [3] Slavík A. Measure functional differential equations with infinite delay [J]. Nonl Anal, 2013, 79(1):140-155.
- [4] B. L. Li, Z. Y. Xu. Bounded variation solutions for measure functional differential equations with infinite delay [J]. Journal of Gansu Sciences, 2019, 31(2):1-7
- [5] J. Kurzweil. Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations [M]. 1992.
- [6] Y. Hino, S. Murakami, T. Naito. Functional differential equations with infinite delay [M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1991
- [7] M Federson, J G Mesquita, A Slavík. Measure functional differential equations and functional dynamic equations on time scales [J]. J Diff Eqns, 2012, 252(6):3816-3847
- [8] A Slavík. Well-posedness results for abstract generalized differential equations and measure functional differential equations. Journal of Differential Equations, 2015, 259(2):666-707.