# Exponential Inequalities for a WOD Sequence

## Jingfang Xie, Decheng Feng, Rui Zheng

*Abstract* — In this paper, we give exponential inequalities for the sequence of WOD random variables.

*Keywords*— WOD random variables; exponential inequalities.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Since Wang [2] introduced the concept of WOD random variable, many scholars have shown great interest in it and achieved many meaningful results.See,for example, Shen [3] established the Bernstein type inequality for WOD random variables and gave some applications, Wang and Cheng [4] presented some basic renewal theorems for a random walk with widely dependent increments and gave some applications. He [5] provided the asymptotic lower bounds of precise large deviations with nonnegative and dependent random variables.

#### II. DEFINITION OF A WOD SEQUENCE

**Definition 1**[1] For the random variables  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ , if there exists a finite real sequence  $\{g_U(n), n \ge 1\}$  satisfying for each  $n \ge 1$  and for all  $x_i \in (-\infty, \infty), 1 \le i \le n$ 

$$P(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2, \dots, X_n > x_n) \le g_U(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x_i)$$

then we say that the  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  are widely upper orthant dependent (WUOD, in short); if there exists a finite real sequence  $\{g_L(n), n \ge 1\}$  satisfying for each  $n \ge 1$  and for all  $x_i \in (-\infty, \infty), 1 \le i \le n$ 

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 \le x_2, \cdots, X_n \le x_n) \le g_L(n) \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x_i)$$

then we say that the  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  are widely lower orthant dependent (WLOD, in short); if they are both WUOD and WLOD, then we say that the  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  are widely

Jingfang Xie, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China, Mobile No.13893488149.

orthant dependent (WOD, in short),  $g_U(n), g_L(n), n \ge 1$ are called dominating coefficients.

**Lemma 1**[1] Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of WOD random variables

(1) If  $\{f_n(\cdot), n \ge 1\}$  are all nondecreasing(or all nonincreasing), then  $\{f_n(X_n), n \ge 1\}$  are still WOD; (2) For each  $n \ge 1$  and any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Eexp}\left\{s\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right\} \leq g(n)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\operatorname{Eexp}\left\{sX_{i}\right\},$$

where  $g_n = \max\{g_U(n), g_L(n)\}$ . Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables

and  $\{c_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of positive number. Define  $1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1,$   $X_{1,i,n} = -c_n I(X_i < -c_n) + X_i I(-c_n \le X_i \le c_n) + c_n I(X_i > c_n),$   $X_{2,i,n} = (X_i - c_n) I(X_i > c_n),$  $X_{3i,n} = (X_i + c_n) I(X_i < -c_n).$  (1)

It is easy to check that  $X_{1,i,n} + X_{2,i,n} + X_{3,i,n} = X_i$ for  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $X_{1,1,n}$ ,  $X_{1,2,n}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{1,n,n}$  are bounded by  $c_n$  for each fixed  $n \ge 1$ . If  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  are WOD random variables, then  $\{X_{1,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ ,

 $\{X_{2,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n\}, \{X_{3,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n\} \text{ are also WOD}$ random variables for each fixed  $n \ge 1$  by Lemma 1. **Lemma 2**[1] Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of WOD random variables with  $E \mid X_n \mid^p < \infty$ ), for each  $n \ge 1$ . Assume further that  $EX_n = 0$  for each  $n \ge 1$  when  $p \ge 2$ . Then there exist positive constant  $C_1(p)$  and  $C_2(p)$  depending only on p such that  $E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right|^p \le [C_1(p) + C_2(p)g(n)] \sum_{i=1}^n E \mid X_i \mid^p$  $1 \le p \le 2$ ,

and

$$E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|^{p} \leq C_{1}(p)\sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{i}|^{p} + C_{2}(p)g(n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E |X_{i}|^{2}\right)^{p/2} \qquad p \geq 2$$

### III. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of WOD random variables with  $EX_n = 0$  for each  $n \ge 1$ , if there exist a sequence of positive numbers  $\{c_n, n \ge 1\}$  such that  $|X_i| \le c_i$  for each  $i \ge 1$ , then for any  $t > 0, n \ge 1$ ,

$$E \exp\left\{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\} \leq g(n) \exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{tc_{i}} E X_{i}^{2}\right\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^x \leq 1 + x + \frac{1}{2} x^2 e^{|x|}$ . Thus, by  $EX_i = 0$ , and  $|X_i| \leq c_i$  for each  $i \geq 1$ , we have

$$Ee^{tX_{i}} \leq 1 + tEX_{i} + \frac{1}{2}t^{2}E\left[X_{i}^{2}e^{t|X_{i}|}\right] = 1 + \frac{1}{2}t^{2}E\left[X_{i}^{2}e^{t|X_{i}|}\right]$$
$$\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}t^{2}e^{tc_{i}}EX_{i}^{2} \leq e \ge \left\{\frac{1}{2}t^{2}e^{tc_{i}}EX_{i}^{2}\right\}.$$

for any t > 0, by Lemma 1, we can see that

$$E \exp\left\{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\} \leq g(n)\prod_{i=1}^{n} Ee^{tX_{i}}$$
$$\leq g(n)\exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{tC_{i}}EX_{i}^{2}\right\}.$$

**Corollary 1** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of WOD random variables and  $\{X_{1,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be defined by (1). Then for any t > 0 and  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$E \exp\left\{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)\right\}$$
  
$$\leq g(n) \exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}e^{2tc_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right\}.$$

Proof. It is easily seen that

 $\{X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  are still WOD random variables with

 $|X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}| \le 2c_n$  for each  $1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1$ , by Theorem 1, we have

$$E \exp\left\{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)\right\}$$
  

$$\leq g(n) \exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}e^{2tc_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)^{2}\right\}$$
  

$$\leq g(n) \exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}e^{2tc_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right\}.$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of WOD random variables and  $\{X_{1,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be defined by (1). Define  $B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2, n \ge 1$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon \le \frac{eB_n^2}{2c_n}$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,  $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}) \ge \varepsilon\right) \le g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\},$  $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}) \le -\varepsilon\right) \le g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\},$ 

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n})\right| \ge \varepsilon\right) \le 2g(n)\exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right),$$

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n})\right| \ge \varepsilon\right) \le 2g(n)\exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\}.$$

Proof. By Markov's inequality and Corollary1, we have that for t > 0

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right) \ge \varepsilon\right)$$
  
$$\leq e^{-t\varepsilon} E \exp\left\{t\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)\right\}$$
  
$$\leq e^{-t\varepsilon} g(n) \exp\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2}e^{2tc_{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right\}$$
  
$$= g(n) \exp\left\{-t\varepsilon + \frac{t^{2}}{2}e^{2tc_{n}}B_{n}^{2}\right\},$$

Taking 
$$t = \frac{\varepsilon}{eB_n^2}$$
, and noting that  $2tc_n \le 1$ , so  
 $g(n)\exp\left\{-t\varepsilon + \frac{t^2}{2}e^{2tc_n}B_n^2\right\} \le g(n)\exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\}$ .  
since  $\left\{-X_{1,i,n}, n \ge 1\right\}$  is a sequence of WOD random variables, so

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right) \le -\varepsilon\right)$$
$$= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-X_{1,i,n} - E\left(-X_{1,i,n}\right)\right) \ge \varepsilon\right)$$
$$\le g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\}$$

then

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)\right| \ge \varepsilon\right) \le 2g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2eB_n^2}\right\}.$$

**Corollary 2** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of identically WOD distributed random variables and  $\{X_{1,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be defined by (1). Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{eEX_1^2}{2c}$ ,  $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right) \ge n\varepsilon\right) \le g(n) e \ge \frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2e E X^2} \bigg|,$  $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right) \le -n\varepsilon\right) \le g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2eEX_1^2}\right\}, \quad \begin{cases} \text{some } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ . Let} \\ \left\{X_{q,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\right\} q = 2,3, \text{ be defined by (1), Then for any } \varepsilon > 0, \end{cases}$  $P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}\right)\right| \ge n\varepsilon\right) \le 2g(n) \exp\left\{-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2eEX^2}\right\}.$ 

**Theorem 3** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of identically distributed WOD random variables and  $\{X_{q,i,n}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  q = 2,3, be defined by (1). Assume that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  statisfying

 $\sup Ee^{tX_1} \le M_{\delta} < \infty$ , where  $M_{\delta}$  is a positive constant  $|t| \leq \delta$ 

depending only on  $\delta$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in (0, \delta]$ ,

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n} | \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{q,i,n} - EX_{q,i,n})| \ge \varepsilon\right)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{[C_1(p) + C_2(p)g(n)]2M_{\delta}e^{-tC_n}}{\varepsilon^2 nt^2}.$$

Proof. For q = 2, by Markov's inequality and Lemma2, we can see that

$$\begin{split} & P\left(\frac{1}{n} |\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2,i,n} - EX_{2,i,n})| \geq \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq \frac{E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2,i,n} - EX_{2,i,n})\right|^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}n^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{[C_{1}(p) + C_{2}(p)g(n)]nE(X_{2,1,n} - EX_{2,1,n})^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}n^{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{[C_{1}(p) + C_{2}(p)g(n)]EX^{2}_{2,1,n}}{\varepsilon^{2}n} \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, it remains only to estimate  $EX^{2}_{2,1,n}$ . Here, we will adopt the method in[6,Lemma4].By that, it is easy to find that

$$EX^{2}_{2,1,n} \leq \frac{2M_{\delta}}{t^{2}}e^{-tc_{n}},$$

 $P\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2,i,n} - EX_{2,i,n}) \mid \geq \varepsilon\right)$  $\leq \frac{[C_1(p)+C_2(p)g(n)]2M_{\delta}e^{-tc_n}}{\varepsilon^2 nt^2}.$ 

For q = 3, the proof is similar to the case for q = 2 and is omitted.

**Corollary 3** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of identically distributed WOD random variables with  $Ee^{\delta|X_1|} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .Let

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n} | \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{q,i,n} - EX_{q,i,n})| \ge \varepsilon\right)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{[C_1(p) + C_2(p)g(n)]2Ee^{\delta|X_1|}}{\varepsilon^2 n \delta^2} e^{-\delta c_n}.$$

Proof It is easily seen that  $\sup Ee^{tX_1} \le Ee^{\delta|X_1|} = M_{\delta} < \infty \text{, which implies the desired}$  $|t| < \delta$ 

results immediately from Theorem3.

**Theorem 4** Let  $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of identically distributed WOD random variables with  $Ee^{\delta|X_1|} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , and  $\{c_n, n \ge 1\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$0 < c_n \le \left(\frac{enEX_1^2}{8\delta}\right)^{1/3} \text{ for some } n \ge n_0,$$

where  $n_0$  is a positive  $c = \sqrt{2 \delta_0 F Y^2 c_0 / n}$  Then for  $n \ge 1$ integer. Define

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n} | \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - EX_i)| \ge 3\varepsilon_n\right)$$

$$\leq 2\left(g(n) + \frac{[C_1(p) + C_2(p)g(n)]Ee^{\delta|X_1|}}{\delta^3 eEX_1^2 c_n}\right)e^{-\delta c_n}$$

Proof It is easy to check that  $2\varepsilon_n c_n \le eEX_1^2$  and  $n\varepsilon_n^2/2eEX_1^2 = \delta c_n$ . It follows from Corollary2 and Corollary3 that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - EX_{i}) \mid \geq 3\varepsilon_{n}\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{1,i,n} - EX_{1,i,n}) \mid \geq \varepsilon_{n}\right)$$

$$+ P\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2,i,n} - EX_{2,i,n}) \mid \geq \varepsilon_{n}\right)$$

$$+ P\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{3,i,n} - EX_{3,i,n}) \mid \geq \varepsilon_{n}\right)$$

so

$$\leq 2g(n)\exp\left\{-\frac{n\varepsilon_n^2}{2eEX_1^2}\right\}$$
  
+
$$\frac{4[C_1(p)+C_2(p)g(n)]Ee^{\delta|X_1|}}{\varepsilon_n^2 n\delta^2}e^{-\tilde{\alpha}_n}$$
  
=
$$2\left(g(n)+\frac{[C_1(p)+C_2(p)g(n)]Ee^{\delta|X_1|}}{\delta^3 eEX_1^2 c_n}\right)e^{-\tilde{\alpha}_n}$$

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Innovation ability improvement project of colleges and universities in Gansu Province (Grant No. 11861057 and Grant No. 11761064 and Grant No.2019A-003 ).

#### IV REFERENCES

[1] Wang X , Xu C , Hu T C , et al. On complete convergence for widely orthant dependent random variables and its applications in nonparametric regression models[J]. TEST, 2014, 23(3):607-629.

[2] Wang K , Wang Y , Gao Q . Uniform Asymptotics for the Finite-Time Ruin Probability of a Dependent Risk Model with a Constant Interest Rate[J]. methodology & computing in applied probability, 2013, 15(1):109-124.

[3] Shen, Aiting. Bernstein-Type Inequality for Widely Dependent Sequence and Its Application to Nonparametric Regression Models[J]. Abstract & Applied Analysis, 2013, 2013:1-9.

[4] Wang Y, Cheng D. Basic renewal theorems for random walks with widely dependent increments[J]. journal of mathematical analysis & applications, 2011, 384(2): 597-606.

[5] He W , Cheng D , Wang Y . Asymptotic lower bounds of precise large deviations with nonnegative and dependent random variables[J]. Statistics & Probability Letters, 2013, 83(1):331-338.

[6] Oliveira P E . An exponential inequality for associated variables[J].
 Statistics & Probability Letters, 2005, 73(2):
 189-197.

**Jingfang Xie**, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86-13893488149.

Decheng Feng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal

University, Lanzhou, China.

**Rui Zheng,** School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.