

The γ -Type Probability Inequalities of Two-Parameter Conditional Demimartingale

Decheng Feng, Yanan Yang, Huimin Wen

Abstract— To study the maximal inequalities for two-parameter conditional demimartingale, on this basis, further get the γ -type probability inequalities of two-parameter conditional demimartingale.

Index Terms— Maximal inequality; two-parameter conditional demi(sub)martingale; γ -type probability inequalities

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Newman and Wright [1] introduced the concepts of demimartingale and demi(sub)martingale, many scholars have extended some results of (single parameter) demimartingale and demi(sub)martingale to the case of multi-parameter, and given some probability inequalities and related application results of multi-parameter demi(sub)martingale. For example, Christofides and Hadjikyriakou [2] gave the definitions of multi-parameter demimartingale and multi-parameter demi(sub)martingale, and extended the Chow type maximal inequality of (single parameter) demimartingale to the case of multi-parameter demimartingale, and obtained a Chow type maximal inequality of two-parameter demimartingale; Wang [3] gave the Hajek Renyi type inequality of multi-parameter associated random variable. In reference [4-8], also gave some probability inequalities for two-parameter demimartingales and their related applications.

Inspired by reference [2], this paper first gave the definition of two-parameter conditional demi(sub)martingale, and obtained a kind of maximal inequality of two-parameter conditional demi(sub)martingale. On this basis, we further obtained the γ type probability inequality of two-parameter conditional demimartingale.

Notation and conventions. Throughout this paper,

Let $n, m \in N^2, n = (n_1, n_2), m = (m_1, m_2)$. If

$n_i \leq m_i, i = 1, 2$, then $n \leq m$. In particular,

if $n_i \leq m_i, i = 1, 2$ at least one of them is strictly less

than established, then $n \leq m$. I_A represents an

indicative function of set A. $\log^+ x = \ln(\max(x, 1))$

II. DEFINITION OF TWO-PARAMETER CONDITIONAL DEMI(SUB)MARTINGALE

Definition 1 A collection of two-parameter random variables $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ is called a two-parameter conditional demimartingale if

$$E^F \left\{ (S_j - S_i) f(S_k, k \leq i) \right\} \geq 0 \quad a.s..$$

for all $i, j \in N^2$ with $i \leq j$ and for any componentwise nondecreasing functions f , the above conditional expectation meaningful. If, in addition f is required to be nonnegative, then the collection $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ is said to be two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale.

Lemma 1[15] Let $X(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \Omega \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ be $A \times B^2$ -measurable and either nonnegative or $P \times u \times u$ -integrable, where u is Lebesgue measure, and let F be a sub- σ -field of A . Then

$$E^F \int_{R^2} X(\cdot, t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 = \int_{R^2} [E^F X(\cdot, t_1, t_2)] dt_1 dt_2 \quad a.s..$$

Lemma 2 Let $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ be a two-parameter conditional demi(sub)martingale, and let $g(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing convex function, $g(S_n) \in L^1$. Then $\{g(S_n), n \in N^2\}$ is a two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale.

Proof. Since $g(x)$ is a nondecreasing convex function, let

$$h(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y^-} \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y},$$

then $g(x) \geq g(y) + (x - y)h(x)$, and $h(x)$ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function. Let $f(x)$ is a componentwise nondecreasing functions, then

$$\begin{aligned} & E^F \left[(g(S_j) - g(S_i)) f(g(S_k, k \leq i)) \right] \\ & \geq E^F \left[(S_j - S_i) h(S_i) f(g(S_k, k \leq i)) \right] \\ & = E^F \left[(S_j - S_i) f^*(S_k, k \leq i) \right] \quad a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

Where $f^*(S_k, k \leq i) = h(S_i) f(g(S_k, k \leq i))$, and f^* is a componentwise nonnegative nondecreasing function.

Because of $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ is a two-parameter conditional demi(sub)martingale,

then

Decheng Feng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Yanan Yang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86-15730989956.

Huimin Wen, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

$E^F \left[\left(g(S_j) - g(S_i) \right) f \left(g(S_k, k \leq i) \right) \right] \geq 0$ a.s.. So $\{g(S_n), n \in N^2\}$ is a two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1 Let $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ be a two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale. Then for any F measurable random variables $\varepsilon \geq 0$ a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \min \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1, j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right], \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \end{aligned} \right) \text{ a.s..} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$A = \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right),$$

$$B_{(1,j)} = \left[S_{(1,j)} \geq \varepsilon, 1 \leq j \leq n_2, \right]$$

$$B_{(i,j)} = \left[S_{(r,j)} < \varepsilon, 1 \leq r < i, S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right],$$

$$2 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} P^F \left(B_{(i,j)} \right) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[\varepsilon I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(1,j)} I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} I_{B_{(2,j)}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=3}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(1,j)} I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} \left(I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} - I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=3}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[\left(S_{(1,j)} - S_{(2,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=3}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[\left(S_{(2,j)} - S_{(1,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=3}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \text{ a.s..} \end{aligned}$$

Since $E^F \left[\left(S_{(2,j)} - S_{(1,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j)}} \right] \geq 0$ a.s., so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(3,j)} I_{B_{(3,j)}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=4}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(2,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(3,j)} I_{\left(B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup B_{(3,j)}} \right) - \left(B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}} \right)} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=4}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(3,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup B_{(3,j)}}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[\left(S_{(2,j)} - S_{(3,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=4}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(3,j)} I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup B_{(3,j)}}} \right] \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[\left(S_{(3,j)} - S_{(2,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=4}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Additionally $E^F \left[\left(S_{(3,j)} - S_{(2,j)} \right) I_{B_{(1,j) \cup B_{(2,j)}}} \right] \geq 0$ a.s., so we have

$$\varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(3,j)} I_{B_{(1,j)} \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup B_{(3,j)}} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(4,j)} I_{B_{(4,j)}} \right] \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i=5}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} I_{B_{(i,j)}} \right] \quad a.s..$$

Repeat the above steps, we get

$$\varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1-1,j)} I_{B_{(1,j)} \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1-1,j)}} \right] \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{B_{(n_1,j)}} \right] \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1-1,j)} I_{B_{(1,j)} \cup B_{(2,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1-1,j)}} \right] \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{(B_{(1,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1,j)}) - (B_{(1,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1-1,j)})} \right] \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[(S_{(n_1,j)} - S_{(n_1-1,j)}) I_{(B_{(1,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1,j)}) - (B_{(1,j)} \cup \dots \cup B_{(n_1-1,j)})} \right] \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \quad a.s..$$

Similarly

$$\varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \quad a.s..$$

Therefore

$$\varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ \leq \min \left(\begin{array}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right], \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \end{array} \right) \quad a.s..$$

Corollary 1 Let $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ be a two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale, and when $k_1 k_2 = 0$, $S_k = 0 a.s.$, where $k = (k_1, k_2)$. Let $g(\cdot)$ be a nondecreasing convex function, $g(S_n) \in L^1, n \in N^2$. Then for any F measurable random variables $\varepsilon \geq 0 a.s.$, we get

$$\varepsilon P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} g(S_{(i,j)}) \geq \varepsilon \right) \\ \leq \min \left(\begin{array}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[g(S_{(n_1,j)}) I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} g(S_{(i,j)}) \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right], \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[g(S_{(i, n_2)}) I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} g(S_{(i,j)}) \geq \varepsilon \right)} \right] \end{array} \right) \quad a.s..$$

Proof. From lemma 2, we can see that $\{g(S_n), n \in N^2\}$ is a two-parameter conditional demisubmartingale, the above results can be obtained from theorem 1.

Theorem 2 Let $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ be a nonnegative two-parameter conditional demimartingale. If $S_{(1,1)} = 1 a.s.$ Then

$$\gamma \left(E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \right) \\ \leq \min \left(\begin{array}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \ln S_{(n_1,j)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \right), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \ln S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \right) \end{array} \right) \quad a.s..$$

Where $\gamma(x) = x - 1 - \ln x, x > 0$.

Proof. Since from theorem 1, we can get that

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] - 1 \\ = \int_0^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t \right) dt - 1 \\ = \int_0^1 P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t \right) dt \\ + \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t \right) dt - 1 \\ = \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t \right) dt \\ \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t \right)} \right] dt \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \ln \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] \quad a.s..$$

Similarly

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] - 1 \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \ln \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] \quad a.s..$$

Since γ is non-negative, we have

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] - 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1, j)} \left(\ln \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) + \gamma \frac{\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)}}{S_{(n_1, j)} E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(E^F \left[S_{(n_1, j)} \ln S_{(n_1, j)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right) a.s..$$

Then

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] - 1 - \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(E^F \left[S_{(n_1, j)} \ln S_{(n_1, j)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right) a.s..$$

Similarly

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] - 1 - \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \ln S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right) a.s..$$

So we have

$$\gamma \left(E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right)$$

$$\leq \min \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(E^F \left[S_{(n_1, j)} \ln S_{(n_1, j)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right), \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \ln S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \ln E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] \right) \right) a.s..$$

Theorem 3 Let $\{S_n, n \in N^2\}$ be a nonnegative two-parameter conditional demimartingale, and for any $(n_1, n_2) \in N^2$, with $S_{(1,1)} = a > 0$ a.s.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i, j)} \log^+ S_{(i, j)} \right] < \infty \quad a.s.,$$

$$\lim_{(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i, j)} \log^+ S_{(i, j)} \right] = \infty \quad a.s.,$$

and $\lim_{(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] < \infty \quad a.s.;$

Then

$$\limsup_{(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i, j)} \log^+ S_{(i, j)} \right]} \leq \frac{e}{e-1} \quad a.s..$$

Proof. We may study the two-parameter conditional demimartingale $\frac{S_{(n_1, n_2)}}{a}$ instead of $S_{(n_1, n_2)}$. Therefore we may assume that $S_{(1,1)} = 1$ a.s.. Since $\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq S_{(1,1)} = 1$. It can be checked that for

$a \log^+ b \leq a \log^+ a + b e^{-1}, a > 0, b > 0$, and by Theorem 1, we get that

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] - 1$$

$$= \int_0^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt - 1$$

$$= \int_0^1 P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt$$

$$+ \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt - 1$$

$$= \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt$$

$$\leq \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} I_{\left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right)} \right] dt$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \log^+ \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \log^+ S_{(i, n_2)} + e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \log^+ S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) \right] a.s..$$

Then

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] - e^{-1} E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \log^+ S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) \right] + 1$$

So

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{e}{e-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[S_{(i, n_2)} \log^+ S_{(i, n_2)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right) \right] + 1 \right) a.s..$$

Similarly

$$E^F \left[\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \right] - 1$$

$$= \int_0^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt - 1$$

$$= \int_0^1 P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt$$

$$+ \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt$$

$$- 1$$

$$= \int_1^\infty P^F \left(\max_{(i, j) \leq (n_1, n_2)} S_{(i, j)} \geq t \right) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} I_{\left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \geq t\right)} \right] dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \log^+ \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \log^+ S_{(n_1,j)} + e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \log^+ S_{(n_1,j)} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] - e^{-1} E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \log^+ S_{(n_1,j)} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] + 1 \quad a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{e}{e-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(n_1,j)} \log^+ S_{(n_1,j)} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] + 1 \right) a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{e}{e-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right] + 1 \right) a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right]}$$

$$\leq \frac{e}{e-1} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[e^{-1} \left(\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right) \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right]}}{1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right]}} \right) a.s..$$

IV. CONCLUSION

$$\limsup_{(n_1,n_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^F \left[\max_{(i,j) \leq (n_1,n_2)} S_{(i,j)} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} E^F \left[S_{(i,j)} \log^+ S_{(i,j)} \right]} \leq \frac{e}{e-1} \quad a.s..$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Innovation ability improvement project of colleges and universities in Gansu Province (Grant No. 11861057 and Grant No. 11761064 and Grant No.2019A-003).

REFERENCES

- [1] NEWMAN,C M.WRIGHT,A L.Associated random variables and martingale inequalities[J].Z Wahrsch Verw Geb.1982,59(3):361-371.
- [2] CHRISTOFIDES,T C and HADJIKYRIAKOU,M.Maximal inequalities for mul-tidimensionally indexed demimartingales and the Hajek-Renyi ineq-uality for associated randomvariables[J].The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysisi and Applications.2011,7:1-9.
- [3] WANG J.F.Maximal inequalities for associated random variables and demimartingales[J].Statistics and Probability Letters.2004,66:347-354.
- [4] AMIRDJANOVA,A.and LINN,M.Stochastic evolution equations for nonli-near filtering of random fields in the presence of fractional Br-ownian sheet observation noise[J].Computers and Mathematics wit hApplications.2008,55:1766-1784.
- [5] CAIROLI,R.WALSH,J.Stochastic integrals in the plane.Acta Math.1 97-5,134:111-183.
- [6] PETER Imkeller.On inequalities for two parameter martingales. Math. Ann.1989,284(2):329-341.
- [7] CAO F,HOU Y.L.Convergence and inequalities of two parameter no nco-mmutative martingales[J].Journalof Mathematics.2015,35(6):1 511-1-520.
- [8] CHENG R.Y.and GAN S.X.Atomic decompositions for two-paramet er vector-valued martingales and two-parameter vector-valued marti ngale spaces[J].Acta.Math.Hunger.2001,93:7-25.
- [9] WANG X.J,WANG S.J,XU C,HU S.H.Chow-typemaximal inequalit y for conditional demimartingales and its applications[J].Chinese Annals of Mathematics,series B,2015,6(6):957-968.

Decheng Feng, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Yanan Yang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86-15730989956.

Huimin Wen, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.