

Asymptotic estimates for finite-time ruin probability of a bidimensional risk model based on entrance process

Zhankui Wang

Abstract— Consider a bidimensional risk model based on entrance process with constant force of interest in which the claim size from the same business are heavy-tailed and pairwise strong quasi-asymptotically independent, the two counting processes of different business satisfy a certain dependence structure. A precise asymptotic formula for the finite-time ruin probability is obtained.

Index Terms— Bidimensional risk model; ruin probability; independence; asymptotic formula MSC(2010): — 60B12, 91B30

I. INTRODUCTION

We know that the literature [1] put forward into a new model (LIG model) based on an entrance process and discussed asymptotic normality of the risk process. Furthermore, some scholars got some conclusions through the study of the LIG model. [2-4] investigated the one-dimensional risk model based on entrance processes. Recently, more attention has been paid to multi-dimensional risk models, especially bidimensional ones. [5] discussed the precise large deviations based on the entry process risk model in the independent case of multi-risk. [6] studied the ruin probability of a bidimensional risk model based on entrance processes with constant interest rate.

In this paper, we investigate finite-time ruin probability of a bidimensional risk model based on entrance processes, in which an insurance company operates two kinds of business. Suppose that the initial insurance fund for the i -th class is x_i and S_j^i is entry time of the j -th policy with $0 < S_1^i < S_2^i < \dots$ and $S_j^i = \sum_{k=1}^j \theta_k^i$, $i = 1, 2$. $\{(N_1(t), N_2(t))^\top, t \geq 0\}$ is a bidimensional renewal counting process. Here,

$N_i(t) = \sup\{j \geq 0: S_j^i \leq t, t \geq 0, i = 1, 2\}$. For more detail of a bidimensional renewal counting process, we refer the reader to Examples 3.1 and 3.2 of [7]. Denote the mean function by $\lambda_i(t) = EN_k(t)$ with $\lambda_i(0) = 0$ and $\lambda_i(t) < \infty$, and define the set $\Lambda_i = \{t > 0: \lambda_i(t) > 0\} = \{t > 0: P(S_1^i \leq t)\}$. If we

set $t_-^i = \inf\{t > 0: P(S_1^i \leq t) > 0\}$, then it is easy to see that $\Lambda_i = [t_-^i, \infty]$ if $P(S_1^i = t_-^i) > 0$; or $\Lambda_i = (t_-^i, \infty]$ if $P(S_1^i = t_-^i) = 0, i = 1, 2$. We denote the intersection set by $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. Let the validity time of the j -th policy be $\{C_j^i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ with probability $P(C_j^i = \alpha_\ell^i) = p_\ell^i, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, K^i$, where they are independent and identically distributed. The premium is $f_i(C_j^i)$ and $f_i(\cdot)$ is a strictly increasing function. D_j^i is claim time of the j -th policy and independent and identically distributed function $H_i(\cdot)$. X_j^i is the j -th claim size and identically distributed function $F_i(\cdot)$. Suppose that X_j^i have the same distributions X^i . For any time $t \geq 0$, the surplus process of the insurer can be described as

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1(t) \\ R_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 e^{\delta t} \\ x_2 e^{\delta t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1(t)} f_1(C_i^1) e^{\delta(t-S_i^1)} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N_2(t)} f_2(C_j^2) e^{\delta(t-S_j^2)} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1(t)} X_i^1 e^{\delta(t-S_i^1-D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N_2(t)} X_j^2 e^{\delta(t-S_j^2-D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

where $\delta > 0$ denotes the constant force of interest. We

further assume that $\{X_j^1, j \geq 1\}$, $\{X_j^2, j \geq 1\}$ and

$\{(N_1(t), N_2(t))^\top, t \geq 0\}$ are mutually independent.

Define the finite-time ruin probabilities corresponding

to risk model (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, x_2; t) &= P(\tau_{\max}(x_1, x_2) \leq t) \\ &= P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^2 R_i(s) < 0, 0 \leq s \leq t\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tau_{\max}(x_1, x_2)$$

$$= \inf\{t : \max\{R_1(t), R_2(t)\} < 0 \mid R_i(0) = x_i$$

$$, i = 1, 2\}.$$

In the rest of this paper, Section 2 presents our main results after introducing necessary preliminaries, Section 3 gives some lemmas, and Sections 4 gives the proofs of the main results.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

Definition 1. For a distribution F , denote its tail distribution by $\bar{F} = 1 - F$ and its upper Matuszewski index by

$$J_F^+ = -\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \bar{F}_*(x)}{\log x},$$

where

$$\bar{F}_*(x) = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(xy)}{\bar{F}(x)} \text{ for } y > 0,$$

which can be found in [8]. A distribution F is said to be dominatedly-varying-tailed, denoted by $F \in D$, if for every fixed $y \in (0, 1)$, $\bar{F}_*(x) < \infty$. Clearly, $F \in D$. A distribution F is said to be long-tailed, denoted by $F \in L$, if for every fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\bar{F}(x+y) \sim \bar{F}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, that is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(x+y)}{\bar{F}(x)} = 1,$$

which can be found in [9].

Definition 2. If real valued random variables $X_i, i \geq 1$ with distribution functions $F_i, i \geq 1$ satisfy for any $i \neq j$

$$\lim_{\min\{x_i, x_j\} \rightarrow \infty} P(X_i > x_i \mid X_j > x_j) = 0,$$

Then we say $X_i, i \geq 1$ are pairwise strong quasi-asymptotically independent (PSQAI), which can be found in [10].

Theorem 1. Consider the bidimensional risk model (1). Suppose that claim sizes, $\{X_j^i, j \geq 1\}$ be PSQAI random variables with common distribution $F_i \in D \cap L, i = 1, 2$. If $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ are arbitrarily dependent, then for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ with $E[N_1(\varepsilon)N_2(\varepsilon)] > 0$. Then for $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & \square \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right. \\ & \left. dH_i(y) \right) E[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

III. SOME LEMMAS

The following lemma is an immediate corollary of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 of [11].

Lemma 1. Let $\{N_1(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{N_2(t), t \geq 0\}$ be two renewal counting processes with their inter-arrival time $\theta_1^1, \theta_2^1, \dots$, and $\theta_1^2, \theta_2^2, \dots$, respectively. For any integer $i \geq 1$, denote by $S_i^1 = \sum_{j=1}^i \theta_j^1$ and $S_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^i \theta_j^2$ the corresponding arrival times. If $\{(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2); i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors, then it holds for any $u_1 \geq 0$ and $u_2 \geq 0$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(S_i^1 \leq u_1, S_j^2 \leq u_2) = E(N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)).$$

The following lemma comes from Theorem 2.1 of [10]

Lemma 2. Assume that $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ are n real-valued random variables with functions of distribution $F_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. If $\{X_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ are PSQAI and $F_j \in D \cap L$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in [a, b]^n$. Then

$$P\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_j > x\right) \square \sum_{j=1}^n P(c_j X_j > x).$$

The following lemma comes from proposition 2.2.1 of [9]

Lemma 3. If a distribution $F \in D$, then for any $\beta > J_F^+$, there exist two positive constant C and D such that for all $x \geq y \geq D$,

$$\frac{\bar{F}(y)}{\bar{F}(x)} \leq C \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^\beta.$$

Lemma 4. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, it holds that uniformly for $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_1(t)} X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1 + D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1 + D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^{N_2(t)} X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2 + D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2 + D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2\right) \\ & \square \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right. \\ & \left. dH_i(y) \right) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. For arbitrarily fixed positive integer M , we split the left-hand side of (3) into three parts as

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} - \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times P\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2, \right. \\ & \left. N_1(t) = m, N_2(t) = n\right) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^3 I_k(x_1, x_2; t) - I_4(x_1, x_2; t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We first deal with $I_1(x_1, x_2; t)$. Write $B_m^1 = \{0 \leq z_1^1 \leq \dots \leq z_m^1 \leq t < z_{m+1}^1\}$, and $B_m^1 = \{0 \leq \dots \leq z_m^1 \leq t < z_{m+1}^1\}$. Since the three sequences

$$\{X_j^i, j \geq 1\}, i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \{(N_1(t), N_2(t))^T, t \geq 0\}$$

are mutually independent, and using Lemma 2, we have that uniformly for $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & I_1(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M P\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2, \right. \\ & \left. N_1(t) = m, N_2(t) = n\right) \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \int \dots \int_{B_m^1; B_n^2} \\ & P\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2\right) \\ & \times P(S_1^1 \in dz_1^1, \dots, S_{m+1}^1 \in dz_{m+1}^1, \\ & S_1^2 \in dz_1^2, \dots, S_{n+1}^2 \in dz_{n+1}^2) \\ & \square \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \int \dots \int_{B_m^1; B_n^2} \\ & P(X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1) \\ & P(X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2) \\ & \times P(S_1^1 \in dz_1^1, \dots, S_{m+1}^1 \in dz_{m+1}^1, \\ & S_1^2 \in dz_1^2, \dots, S_{n+1}^2 \in dz_{n+1}^2) \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \\ & X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2, N_1(t) = m, \\ & N_2(t) = n) \\ & \square \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} - \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^M \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \\ & X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2, N_1(t) = m, \\ & N_2(t) = n) \\ & = J_1(x_1, x_2; t) - J_2(x_1, x_2; t) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For $J_1(x_1, x_2; t)$, uniformly for all $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & J_1(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1+D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1+D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \\ & X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2+D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2+D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2, S_i^1 \leq t, \\ & S_j^2 \leq t) \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{\ell=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_{\ell}^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^{\Lambda}(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right. \\ & \left. dH_i(y) \right) P(S_i^1 \in du_1, S_j^2 \in du_2) \\ & = \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{\ell=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_{\ell}^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^{\Lambda}(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right. \\ & \left. dH_i(y) \right) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As for $J_2(x_1, x_2; t)$, we have that for all $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & J_2(x_1, x_2; t) \leq \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^M \right) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_i^1 > x_1, X_j^2 > x_2, \\ & N_1(t) = m, N_2(t) = n) \\ & = K_1(x_1, x_2; t) + K_2(x_1, x_2; t). \end{aligned}$$

It holds that for all $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_1(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_i^1 > x_1, X_j^2 > x_2, N_1(t) = m, \\ & N_2(t) = n) \\ & = P(X_i^1 > x_1, X_j^2 > x_2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} mn P(N_1(t) = m, \\ & N_2(t) = n). \\ & = \bar{F}(x_1) \bar{F}(x_2) E(N_1(t)N_2(t)I_{N_2(T)>M}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain from Hölder's inequality that

$$\sup_{t \in \Lambda[\varepsilon, T], M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(N_1(t)N_2(t)I_{N_2(T)>M})}{E(N_1(t)N_2(t))}$$

$$\leq \frac{(E[(N_1(T))]^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (E[(N_2(T))^2 I_{\{N_2(T) > M\}}])^{\frac{1}{2}}}{E(N_1(\varepsilon)N_2(\varepsilon))} = 0.$$

Then, by $F_i \in D, i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \\ & \frac{K_1(x_1, x_2; t)}{\int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right)} \\ & \times \frac{1}{dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]} \\ & \leq \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{F}(x_1)\bar{F}(x_2)}{\bar{F}_1(x_1 e^{\delta T})\bar{F}_2(x_2 e^{\delta T})} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i H_i(\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i))} \\ & \times \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \frac{E(N_1(t)N_2(t)I_{N_2(T) > M})}{E(N_1(t)N_2(t))} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$K_2(x_1, x_2; t)$ can be dealt with in the same way. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \\ & \frac{J_2(x_1, x_2; t)}{\int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right)} \\ & \times \frac{1}{dH_i(y)E(N_1(t)N_2(t))} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Substituting (6) and (8) into (5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & I_1(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & \square \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right) \\ & dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We next estimate $I_2(x_1, x_2; t)$. Choose some $\beta > \max\{J_{F_1}^+, J_{F_2}^+\}$. According to Lemma 3, uniformly for all $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & I_2(x_1, x_2; t) \\ & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} mn P(X^1 > x_1/m) P(X^2 > x_2/n) \\ & \times P(N_1(t) = m, N_2(t) = n) \\ & \leq C_1 C_2 P(X^1 > x_1) P(X^2 > x_2) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} m^{\beta+1} n^{\beta+1} \\ & \times P(N_1(t) = m, N_2(t) = n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq CP(X^1 > x_1)P(X^2 > x_2) \\ & \times E(N_1(t)^{\beta+1} N_2(t)^{\beta+1} I_{N_2(T) > M}), \end{aligned}$$

where C is some positive constant. Similarly to (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \\ & \frac{I_2(x_1, x_2; t)}{\int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right)} \\ & \times \frac{1}{dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In the same manner, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \\ & \frac{I_3(x_1, x_2; t)}{\int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right)} \\ & \times \frac{1}{dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]} \\ & \leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\min\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in \Lambda \cap (0, T]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{I_4(x_1, x_2; t)}{\int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right)} \\ & \times \frac{1}{dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Substituting (9), (10) and (11) into (4) the desired relation (3) holds uniformly for all $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$.

IV. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first deal with the asymptotic upper bound of $\psi(x_1, x_2; t)$. By Lemma 4, we have that uniformly for $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_1, x_2; t) & \leq P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_1(t)} X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1 + D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1 + D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}} > x_1, \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^{N_2(t)} X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2 + D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2 + D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\square \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \wedge (t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)}) \right) dH_i(y) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \quad (12)$$

Then we discuss the asymptotic lower bound of $\psi(x_1, x_2; t)$. For simplicity, write

$$Z_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k(t)} f_k(C_i^k) e^{-\delta S_i^k}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

For sufficiently large $N > 0$, by Lemma 4,

$F_k \in L, k=1,2$, we obtain that uniformly for
 $t \in \Lambda \cap [\varepsilon, T]$,
 $\psi(x_1, x_2; t)$

[11] J. Hunter, Renewal theory in two dimensions: Basic results[J].
Advances in Appl. Probability. 1974, 6(2): 376–391.

Zhankui Wang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal
 University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86- 18894575336.

$$\begin{aligned} & \square \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_1(t)} X_i^1 e^{-\delta(S_i^1 + D_i^1)} I_{\{S_i^1 + D_i^1 \leq t, D_i^1 \leq C_i^1\}}\right) \\ & > x_1 + z_1, \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{N_2(t)} X_j^2 e^{-\delta(S_j^2 + D_j^2)} I_{\{S_j^2 + D_j^2 \leq t, D_j^2 \leq C_j^2\}} > x_2 + z_2) \\ & P(Z_1(t) \in dz_1, Z_2(t) \in dz_2) \\ & \square \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \Lambda(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)})\right. \\ & dH_i(y)) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)] \\ & \times P(Z_1(t) \in dz_1, Z_2(t) \in dz_2) \\ & \geq \int_0^N \int_0^N \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \Lambda(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)})\right) \\ & dH_i(y)) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)] \\ & \times P(Z_1(t) \in dz_1, Z_2(t) \in dz_2) \\ & \square P(Z_1(t) \leq N, Z_2(t) \leq N) \\ & \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \Lambda(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)})\right) dH_i(y)) \\ & dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)] \\ & \square \int_0^t \int_0^t \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{K^i} p_\ell^i \int_0^{\alpha_i^\ell \Lambda(t-u_i)} \bar{F}_i(x_i e^{\delta(u_i+y)})\right) \\ & dH_i(y)) dE[N_1(u_1)N_2(u_2)]. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

A combination of (12) and (13) shows that (2) holds uniformly for all $t \leq T$

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Li, J. Zhu, F. Chen, Study of a risk model based on the entrance process[J]. *Statistics and Probability Letters.* 2005, 72(1):1-10.
- [2] Z. Li, X. Kong, A new risk model based on policy entrance process and its weak convergence properties [J]. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry.* 2007, 23(3): 235-246.
- [3] H. Xiao, J. Tang, Asymptotic ruin probabilities of an entrance processes based risk model with interest force and regularly varying claims[J]. *Chinese Journal of Engineering Mathematics.* 2009, 26(6): 1126-1132.
- [4] H. Xiao, Z. LI, W. Liu, The finite time ruin probability of a new risk model based on entrance process[J]. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods.* 2013, 42(2): 336-345.
- [5] F. Tang, J. Bai, Precise large deviations for aggregate loss process in a multi-risk model[J]. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 2015, 52(3): 447-467.
- [6] H. Xiao, L. Xie, Asymptotic ruin probability of a bidimensional risk model based on entrance processes with constant interest rate[J]. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods.* 2018, 47(22): 5396-5417.
- [7] H. Yang, J. Li, Asymptotic ruin probabilities for a bidimensional renewal risk model, *Stochastics.* 2017, 89(5): 687-708.
- [8] Y. Yang, Y. Wang, Asymptotics for ruin probability of some negatively dependent risk models with a constant interest rate and dominatedly-varying-tailed claims[J]. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 2010,80(3): 143-154.
- [9] N. Bingham, C. Goldie, J. Teugels, Regular variation[M]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [10] J. Li, On pairwise quasi-asymptotically independent random variables and their applications[J]. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*