

Spectral Analysis of Quantum Bernoulli Noise

Fangqing Zhang, Caishi Wang, Cuiyun Zhang, Xiling Zhang

Abstract— Quantum Bernoulli noise is the family of annihilation and creation operators acting on Bernoulli functionals, which satisfy the anti-commutation relations (ACR) in equal-time. In this paper, we study spectral structure of operators related to quantum Bernoulli noise. Among others, we obtain spectral theorems for these operators.

Index Terms—Quantum Bernoulli noise, Spectral theorem. MSC(2010):—60H40, 47B38.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Bernoulli noise [5] is the family of annihilation and creation operators acting on Bernoulli functionals (Bernoulli annihilators and creators for short), which satisfy the anti-commutation relations (ACR) in equal-time, and can be viewed as a discrete-time analog of quantum white noise^[21]. In the past two decades, quantum Bernoulli noise has found wide application in many problems in mathematical physics. Privault [4] used Bernoulli annihilators to define his gradient operation on Bernoulli functionals. Nourdin, Peccati and Reinert [3] investigated normal approximation of Rademacher functionals (a special case of Bernoulli functionals) with the help of Bernoulli annihilators. In 2016, Wang and Ye [8] constructed a quantum walk model in terms of quantum Bernoulli noise. The same year, Wang and Chen [6] applied quantum Bernoulli noise to the study of quantum Markov semigroups. There are other interesting application results of quantum Bernoulli noise (see, e.g.[1]).

Let $\{\partial_k, \partial_k^* \mid k \geq 0\}$ be quantum Bernoulli noise. In a recent paper [7], it was shown that there exists a close link between operators of form $\sum_{k=0}^n (\partial_k + \partial_k^*)$ and probability distributions of the quantum walk introduced in^[8]. In this note, we study operators of form $\sum_{k=0}^n (\partial_k + \partial_k^*)$ and other operators related to quantum Bernoulli noise from a viewpoint of spectral theory. Among others, we obtain spectral theorems for these operators.

Notation and conventions. Throughout, \mathbb{Z} always

denotes the set of all integers, while \mathbb{N} means the set of all nonnegative integers. We denote by Γ the finite power set of \mathbb{N} , namely

$$\Gamma = \{\tau \mid \tau \subset \mathbb{N}, \#(\tau) < \infty\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\#(\tau)$ means the cardinality of τ as a finite set. Unless otherwise stated, letters like j, k and n stand for nonnegative integers, namely elements of \mathbb{N} .

II. FUNDAMENTALS ABOUT QBN

In the present section, we recall some fundamental notions and facts about quantum Bernoulli noises.

Let $\Sigma = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all mappings $\omega: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \{-1, 1\}$, and $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the sequence of canonical projections on Σ given by

$$\zeta_n(\omega) = \omega(n), \omega \in \Sigma.$$

Denote by \mathcal{A} the σ -field on Σ generated by the sequence $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Let $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a given sequence of positive numbers with the property that $0 < p_n < 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is known [4] that there exists a unique probability measure μ on \mathcal{A} such that

$$\mu \circ (\zeta_{n_1}, \zeta_{n_2}, \dots, \zeta_{n_k})^{-1} \{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k)\} = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_j}{2}} (1-p_j)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon_j}{2}}.$$

for $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) with $n_i \neq n_j$ when $i \neq j$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 1$. Thus we come to a probability measure space $(\Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, which is referred to as the Bernoulli space and random variables on it are known as Bernoulli functionals.

Let $Z = (Z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of random variables on $(\Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ defined by

$$Z_n = \frac{\zeta_n + q_n - p_n}{2\sqrt{p_n q_n}}, n \geq 0.$$

where $q_n = 1 - p_n$. Clearly $Z = (Z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an independent sequence of random variables, and, for each $n \geq 0$, Z_n has a probability distribution

$$\mu\{Z_n = \theta_n\} = p_n, \mu\{Z_n = -1/\theta_n\} = q_n, n \geq 0$$

with $\theta_n = \sqrt{q_n/p_n}$. To be convenient, we set $A_n =$

$\sigma(Z_k; 0 \leq k \leq n)$, the σ -field over Σ generated by $(Z_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$, for $n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\emptyset = \{\emptyset, \Sigma\}$.

Let $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the space of square integrable complex-valued Bernoulli functionals, namely

$$L^2(\mathbb{Z}) = L^2(\Sigma, \mathcal{A}, \mu).$$

Fangqing Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86- 18893798826

Caishi Wang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Cuiyun Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Xiling Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the usual inner product of the space $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$ and by $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding norm . It is known [4] that \mathbf{Z} has the chaotic representation property . Thus $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$ has $\{Z_\tau \mid \tau \in \Gamma\}$ as its orthonormal basis , where $Z_\emptyset = 1$ and $Z_\tau = \prod_{i \in \tau} Z_i, \tau \in \Gamma, \tau \neq \emptyset$, which shows that $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$ is an infinite dimensional, separable complex Hilbert space.

For integer $k \geq 0$, there exists a bounded linear operator ∂_k on $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$\partial_k Z_\tau = \mathbf{1}_\tau(k) Z_{\tau \setminus k}, \tau \in \Gamma,$$

where $\tau \setminus k = \tau \setminus \{k\}$ and $\mathbf{1}_\tau(k)$ the indicator of τ as a subset of \mathbf{N} . Denoting by ∂_k^* the adjoint operator of ∂_k , one has

$$\partial_k^* Z_\tau = (1 - \mathbf{1}_\tau(k)) Z_{\tau \cup k}, \tau \in \Gamma,$$

where $\tau \cup k = \tau \cup \{k\}$. In the language of physics , the operator ∂_k and its adjoint ∂_k^* are referred to as the annihilation operator and creation operator at site k , respectively.

The family $\{\partial_k, \partial_k^* \mid k \geq 0\}$ is known as quantum Bernoulli noise (QBN) . The next lemma shows that QBN satisfies the canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR) in equal-time.

Lemma 2.1 ^[5] Let $k, l \in \mathbf{N}$. Then it holds true that

$$\partial_k \partial_l = \partial_l \partial_k, \partial_k^* \partial_l^* = \partial_l^* \partial_k^*, \partial_k^* \partial_l = \partial_l \partial_k^* (k \neq l) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\partial_k \partial_k = \partial_k^* \partial_k^* = 0, \partial_k \partial_k^* + \partial_k^* \partial_k = I, \quad (2.2)$$

where I is the identity operator on $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

In the present section , we state and prove our main results about spectral structure of operators related to quantum Bernoulli noise.

In the following , for convenience , we write $\Xi_k =$

$\partial_k^* + \partial_k$ for each $k \geq 0$. We note that

$$\Xi_k^* = \Xi_k, \Xi_k^2 = I, \Xi_j \Xi_k = \Xi_k \Xi_j, j, k \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

In other words , the family $\{\Xi_k \mid k \geq 0\}$ is a commutative family consisting of self-adjoint unitary operators on $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$.

Proposition 3.1 Let $k \geq 0$ and define $P_k = \frac{1}{2}(I + \Xi_k)$, $Q_k = \frac{1}{2}(I - \Xi_k)$. Then both P_k and Q_k are projection operators on $L^2(\mathbf{Z})$, and moreover they satisfy relations $P_k + Q_k = I$ and $P_k Q_k = Q_k P_k = 0$.

Proof. Clearly , by their definitions , we immediately have $P_k + Q_k = I, P_k^* = P_k$ and $Q_k^* = Q_k$. On the other hand , a straightforward computation gives

$$P_k^2 = \frac{1}{4}(I + 2\Xi_k + \Xi_k^2) = \frac{1}{4}(2I + 2\Xi_k) = P_k,$$

which together with $P_k^* = P_k$ implies that P_k is a projection operator . Similarly we can show that Q_k is also a projection operator . Finally , we have

$$P_k Q_k = \frac{1}{4}(I + \Xi_k)(I - \Xi_k) = \frac{1}{4}(I - \Xi_k + \Xi_k - \Xi_k^2) = 0,$$

which implies that $Q_k P_k = 0$.

By convention , we denote by $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum of an operator A , and by $\rho(A)$ its resolvent set . The next proposition gives the spectrum and spectral decomposition of operator Ξ_k .

Proposition 3.2 Let $k \geq 0$. Then, for each $\lambda \in C \setminus \{-1, 1\}$, the operator $\lambda I - \Xi_k$ has a bounded inverse operator of the following form

$$(\lambda I - \Xi_k)^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1} I + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} \Xi_k. \quad (3.2)$$

And moreover Ξ_k has spectrum $\sigma(\Xi_k) = \{-1, 1\}$ and spectral decomposition $\Xi_k = P_k - Q_k$.

Proof. For each $\lambda \in C \setminus \{-1, 1\}$, by computation we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \Xi_k) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1} I + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} \Xi_k \right) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1} I + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} \Xi_k \right) (\lambda I - \Xi_k) \\ &= I, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\lambda I - \Xi_k$ has a bounded inverse operator and its inverse operator takes the form

$$(\lambda I - \Xi_k)^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1} I + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} \Xi_k.$$

Thus $C \setminus \{-1, 1\} \subset \rho(\Xi_k)$, which implies that $\sigma(\Xi_k) \subset \{-1, 1\}$. On the other hand , for $\tau \in \Gamma$ with $k \in \tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_k (Z_\tau - Z_{\tau \setminus k}) &= -(Z_\tau - Z_{\tau \setminus k}) \\ \Xi_k (Z_\tau + Z_{\tau \setminus k}) &= Z_\tau + Z_{\tau \setminus k}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $-1, 1 \in \sigma(\Xi_k)$. Thus $\sigma(\Xi_k) = \{-1, 1\}$. It follows immediately from the definitions of P_k and

Q_k that $\Xi_k = P_k - Q_k$.

It follows easily from (3.2) that the family $\{P_k, Q_k \mid k \geq 0\}$ is a commutative one in the sense that $P_j P_k = P_k P_j, P_j Q_k = Q_k P_j, Q_j Q_k = Q_k Q_j, j, k \geq 0$.

$$(3.3)$$

In view of this , we introduce the following notation: $P_\emptyset = Q_\emptyset = I$, where I denotes the empty set , and

$$P_\tau = \prod_{k \in \tau} P_k, Q_\tau = \prod_{k \in \tau} Q_k \quad (3.4)$$

for $\tau \in \Gamma$ with $\tau \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, the family $\{P_\tau, Q_\tau \mid \tau \in \Gamma\}$

is also commutative, namely

$$P_\tau P_\gamma = P_\gamma P_\tau, P_\tau Q_\gamma = Q_\gamma P_\tau, Q_\tau Q_\gamma = Q_\gamma Q_\tau \quad (3.5)$$

hold for all $\tau, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $n \geq 0$ and $N_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} \text{ is a projection operator on } L^2(Z) \text{ for each } \tau \subset N_n. \text{ Moreover, } P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} P_\gamma Q_{N_n \setminus \gamma} = 0 \text{ whenever } \tau, \gamma \subset N_n \text{ with } \tau \neq \gamma, \text{ and } \sum_{\tau \subset N_n} P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} = I. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Let $\tau \subset N_n$. Then, by Proposition 3.1 and relations (3.3), we know that both P_τ and $Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}$ are projection operators, which together with relations (3.5) implies that $P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}$ is also a projection operator. If $\tau, \gamma \subset N_n$ with $\tau \neq \gamma$, then $\tau \cap (N_n \setminus \gamma) \neq \emptyset$ or $\gamma \cap (N_n \setminus \tau) \neq \emptyset$, which together with Proposition 3.1 implies that $P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \gamma} = 0$ or $P_\gamma Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} = 0$, which then implies

$$P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} P_\gamma Q_{N_n \setminus \gamma} = 0.$$

Finally, by using the relations described in Proposition 3.1 as well as commutative Relations (3.5), we come to

$$I = I^{n+1} = \prod_{k=0}^n (P_k + Q_k) = \sum_{\tau \subset N_n} P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.4 Let $n \geq 0$ and $N_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Define an operator-valued mapping $\pi_n(\cdot)$ on

$$\{2j - n - 1 \mid 0 \leq j \leq n + 1\} \text{ as} \\ \pi_n(2j - n - 1) = \sum_{\#(\tau)=j, \tau \subset N_n} P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}, 0 \leq j \leq n + 1, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\#(\tau)$ denotes the cardinality of τ as a finite set. Then $\pi_n(\cdot)$ is a projection operator-valued measure on $\{2j - n - 1 \mid 0 \leq j \leq n + 1\}$, namely it satisfies that

- (i) $\pi_n(2j - n - 1)$ is a projection operator for each j with $0 \leq j \leq n + 1$;
- (ii) $\pi_n(2j - n - 1)\pi_n(2k - n - 1) = 0$ whenever $j \neq k, 0 \leq j, k \leq n + 1$;
- (iii) and $\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \pi_n(2j - n - 1) = I$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3.

Theorem 3.5 $n \geq 0$ and $N_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Define $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \Xi_k$. Then S_n has a spectral decomposition of the following form

$$S_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (2j - n - 1) \pi_n(2j - n - 1). \quad (3.8)$$

In particular, the spectrum $\sigma(S_n)$ of S_n coincides with $\{2j - n - 1 \mid 0 \leq j \leq n + 1\}$.

Proof. For each $k \in N_n$, define a function

$\varepsilon_k : N_n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ as $\varepsilon_k(k) = -1$ and $\varepsilon_k(j) = 1$ for $j \in N_n$ with $j \neq k$. Then by Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^n (P_j + \varepsilon_k(j) Q_j) \\ &= \prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^n (P_j + Q_j) \\ &= I^n \\ &= I, 0 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

On the other hand, for $k \in N_n$, by using relations (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_k &= P_k + \varepsilon_k(k) Q_k \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^n (P_j + \varepsilon_k(j) Q_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \sum_{\#(\tau)=j, \tau \subset N_n} f_k(N_n \setminus \tau) P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_k(N_n \setminus \tau) = \prod_{i \in N_n \setminus \tau} \varepsilon_k(i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } S_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \Xi_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \sum_{\#(\tau)=j, \tau \subset N_n} f_k(N_n \setminus \tau) P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} \quad (3.10) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \sum_{\#(\tau)=j, \tau \subset N_n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n f_k(N_n \setminus \tau) \right) P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for $\tau \subset N_n$, a careful computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(N_n \setminus \tau) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \prod_{i \in N_n \setminus \tau} \varepsilon_k(i) \\ &= \#(\tau) + (-1) \#(N_n \setminus \tau) \\ &= 2\#(\tau) - n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.10) and (3.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \sum_{\#(\tau)=j, \tau \subset N_n} (2j - n - 1) P_\tau Q_{N_n \setminus \tau} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (2j - n - 1) \pi_n(2j - n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11461061 and Grant No. 11861057).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chen and C. Wang, Linear stochastic Schrödinger equations in terms of quantum Bernoulli noises, *J. Math. Phys.* 58 (2017), no. 5, 053510.
- [2] Z. Huang, Quantum white noises --white noise approach to quantum stochastic calculus, *Nagoya Math. J.* 129 (1993), 23-42.
- [3] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, and G. Reinert, Stein's method and stochastic analysis of Rademacher functionals, *Electronic Journal of Probability*, vol. 15, no. 55, 1703-1742, 2010.
- [4] N. Privault, Stochastic analysis of Bernoulli processes, *Probab. Surv.* 5 (2008), 435C483.
- [5] C. Wang, H. Chai and Y. Lu, Discrete-time quantum Bernoulli noises, *J. Math. Phys.* 51 (2010), no. 5, 053528.
- [6] C. Wang and J. Chen, Quantum Markov semigroups constructed from quantum Bernoulli noises, *J. Math. Phys.* 57 (2016), no. 2, 023502.
- [7] C. Wang, C. Wang, Y. Tang and S. Ren, Quantum walk in terms of quantum Bernoulli noise and quantum central limit theorem for quantum Bernoulli noise, *Adv. Math. Phys.* 2018, Art. ID 2507265.
- [8] C. Wang and X. Ye, Quantum walk in terms of quantum Bernoulli noises, *Quantum Inf. Process.* 15 (2016), no. 5, 1897-1908.

Fangqing Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, Mobile 86- 18893798826

Caishi Wang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Cuiyun Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.

Xiling Zhang, Collage of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China.