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Abstract— The main objective of the paper is to review a number of widely used multilateral index numbers for international comparisons of purchasing power parities (PPPs) and real incomes that can be derived using the stochastic approach. The paper discusses that price index numbers from commonly used methods like the Iklé, the Rao-weighted, and an additive multilateral system are all estimators of the parameters of the country-product-dummy (CPD) model. The paper also presents the method of moments (MOM) as an approach to estimate PPPs under the stochastic approach and shows how the Geary–Khamis system of multilateral index numbers is a method of moments estimator of the parameters of the CPD model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The stochastic approach, used in derived the purchasing power parities (ppps) which are essentially spatial price index numbers that provide measures of price level differences across countries or regions within a country. The Country Product Dummy (CPD) model represented a simple regression approach to measure price level differences in different countries or regions within a country. The CPD model proposed by Summers (1973), as a model for filling missing price data in the context of international comparisons. Subsequently the CPD model used in the first few phases of the International Comparison Project (ICP) mainly as a tool for aggregating price data under the basic heading level.

Rao (1995), proposed Weighted-CPD as a link between the CPD model and the geometric variant of the Geary-Khamis model. In addition, it considered a generalization of the CPD model, which used for aggregation above the basic heading level.

\[ \ln p_{ij} = \sum_{i} \pi_{i} D_{i} + \sum_{j} \eta_{j} \] (1)

Where:

- \( p_{ij} \) is price of commodity \( i \) in the country \( j \).
- \( \pi_{i} \) is the \( \ln p_{ppp} \) and \( j = 1,2,\ldots,M \).
- \( \eta_{j} \) is the \( \ln p_{ij} \) and \( i = 1,2,\ldots,N \).
- \( D_{i} \) = \( \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if price } p_{ij} \text{ observation belongs to country } j \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \)
- \( D_{j} \) = \( \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if price } p_{ij} \text{ observation refers to } i^{th} \text{ commodity} \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \)
- \( u_{ij} \)'s are random disturbance terms which are independently and identically distributed.

II. THE COUNTRY PRODUCT DUMMY (CPD) METHOD:

Rao (2004), used the (CPD) model to represent multiple regression approach to measure price level differences in different countries. The CPD model postulates that the \( p_{ij} \), is the product of three components:

\[ p_{ij} = p_{i} \cdot p_{ppp} \cdot V_{ij} \] (2)

Where:

- \( p_{i} \) is the commodity \( i^{th} \) price, \( p_{ppp} \) is the purchasing power parity is the price level of the \( i^{th} \) commodity relative to other commodities price is \( p_{i} \) and \( V_{ij}'s \) are a random disturbance terms which are iid distributed. The parameters of the model (ppp, and \( p_{i} \)) can be estimated:

\[ \ln p_{ij} = \ln p_{i} + \ln p_{ppp} + \ln V_{ij} \] (3)

\[ \ln p_{ij} = \eta_{i} + \pi_{j} + u_{ij} \] (4)

Where, \( \eta_{i} \) and \( \pi_{j} \) are defined as before and \( u_{ij} \) are
random disturbance which are iid normally distributed $u_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N} (0, \sigma^2)$.

It is possible to express $\pi_j$ relative to a reference country (say country 1), then $\pi_j$ represents the purchasing power parity $\text{PPP}_j$ of country $j$ which showing the number of country $j$ currency units that have the same purchasing power as one unit of currency of country 1. The PPP for country, $j$ is given by:

$$\text{PPP}_j = \exp \left[ \pi_j \right] \quad (5)$$

This system solved by imposing a linear restriction on the unknown parameters. For $\alpha_i = 0$ is the restriction imposed, it can be easily shown that, for each $j = 2, \ldots, M$

$$\text{PPP}_j = \exp (\pi_j) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_{ij}}{p_1} \right]^{1/N} \quad (6)$$

Using Eq(6), to make a comparisons of price levels between two countries $c$ and $d$, represented by $\text{PPP}_{cd}$ can be derived as:

$$\text{PPP}_{cd} = \frac{\text{PPP}_d}{\text{PPP}_c} = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_{id}}{p_{ic}} \right]^{1/N} \quad (7)$$

The price level comparison, $\text{PPP}_{cd}$ in Eq(7) satisfied the transitivity property and Eq (7) is equivalent to the EKS (Eliteto-Koves-Szulc) index number.

III. THE CPD REGRESSION MODEL

The standard CPD formulation is multiple regression model that regresses logarithm of observed prices on a set of dummy variables representing the commodity and country to which a given price observation refers to as in the Eq (1) this model can be written as:

$$y_{ij} = x_{ij} \beta + u_{ij} \quad (8)$$

Where:

$$x_{ij} = \left[ D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_M, D_1^*, D_2^*, \ldots, D_N^* \right]$$

$$\beta = \left[ \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_M, \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_N \right]'$$

The values of the dummy variables are determined at the observation $ij$ of NM observations (for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$).

The model can be rewritten as:

$$y - X\beta = u \quad (9)$$

Eq (9) is a general regression model with NM observations and $(N+M)$ explanatory variables. The matrix $X$ has rank $(N+M-1)$ as the first $M$ dummy variables and the last $N$ dummy variables sum to the same vector with elements equal to 1.

The restriction $\pi_1 = 0$ imposed in Eq (8), this restriction implies that the currency of country 1 taken as the numerator and $\text{PPPs}$ of all other countries are expressed relative to the currency of country 1. The model under this restriction is essentially the same as in Eq (9) except that the first column of $X$ and the first element of $\beta$ are dropped from the model. The restricted model is then given by:

$$y - X^* \beta^* = v \quad (10)$$

Under the assumption of independently and iid random disturbances, the best linear unbiased estimator of $\beta^*$ and the associated covariance matrix is given by:

$$\beta^* = \left( X^* X^* \right)^{-1} X^* y \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Var} (\beta^*) = \sigma^2 \left( X^* X^* \right)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

Using the special structure of the matrix $X^*$, consisting of various dummy variables as columns, it can be shown that:

$$X^* X = \left[ \frac{N}{N} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \frac{1}{(M-1)} \right] \quad (13)$$

Given this expression, it can be shown that:

$$\hat{\pi}_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{p_{ij}}{p_1} \right] \quad (15)$$

Rao (2004), also proved that $\hat{\pi}_j$ is an unbiased estimator of $\pi_j$ and its variance is given by:

$$V \left( \hat{\pi}_j \right) = \frac{2}{N} \sigma^2 \quad (16)$$

To estimate the variances of these parameters, the least squares residuals defined for each observation $Y_{ij}$ as:

$$Y_{ij} = y_{ij} - \hat{\pi}_j - \hat{\gamma}_i \quad (17)$$

Since, $Y_{ij}$'s are assumed to be iid with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2$.

An unbiased estimator of $\sigma^2$ is given by:
\[ 2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e_{ij}^2}{MN - (M+N-1)} \]  

(18)

It is possible to derive the estimated variance of each element of \( \hat{\beta} \). Eq (18) is illustrated that the estimated standard errors of the \( \hat{\pi}_j \)'s are the same for all \( j = 1,2, \ldots, M \). Therefore, there is little that distinguishes the estimators of the logarithmic price level differences in terms of standard errors. This is mainly due to the fact that all commodities are priced in all the countries and disturbances in equation, \( v_{ij} \) are i id.

IV. SYSTEMS OF PRICE INDEX NUMBERS FOR INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS BASED ON STOCHASTIC APPROACH:

These systems are a class of index numbers for international price comparisons, for example Rao system, Geary-Ghamis system (GK), Hajargasht-Rao, Elteto-Koves-Szulc (EKS), and IKLE system. Diewert (2005) has demonstrated that a number of commonly used formulae can be derived using the CPD model. Although, Rao (2005) established that the Rao method for computing PPPs is equivalent to the weighted CPD model.

Rao, (2005) supposed that \( p_{ij} \) and \( q_{ij} \) are the price and the quantity of the i-th commodity in the j-th country respectively, where \( j = 1,2, \ldots, M \) indexes the countries and \( i = 1,2, \ldots, N \) indexes the commodities. Also defined \( ppp_j \) as purchasing power parity or the general price level in the j-th country relative to a numerator country, \( p_1 \), is the world average price for the i-th commodity and supposed that the following system of weights \( W_{ij} \) and \( \hat{W}_{ij}^* \) is defining different systems of index numbers. These weights are defined as:

\[ w_{ij} = \frac{p_{ij} q_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{ij} q_{ij}}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{ij} = 1, \]  

(19)

\[ w_{ij}^* = \frac{w_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{ij}}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{ij}^* = 1. \]  

(20)

Lemma(1):

The Rao system is the weighed geometric averages in the place of arithmetic averages used in the GK system as follow:

\[ p_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \left( \frac{p_{ij}}{ppp_j} \right)^{w_{ij}} \quad i = 1,2, \ldots, N \]  

(21)

\[ ppp_j = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{p_{ij}}{p_i} \right)^{w_{ij}} \quad j = 1,2, \ldots, M \]  

(22)

These two equations of the Rao-system are equivalent to the weighted least squares estimate for the weighted CPD model.

V. HAJARGASHT AND RAO MULTILATERAL SYSTEM OF INDEX NUMBER:

Hajargasht and Rao (2010), proposed their index number system, (H-R) with expenditure share weighted, it is arithmetic averages. The system is:

\[ p_i = \left[ \frac{m}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} p_{ij} w_{ij} \right] \quad i = 1,2, \ldots, N \]  

(23)

\[ ppp_j = \left[ \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{ij} w_{ij} \right] \quad j = 1,2, \ldots, M \]  

(24)

Where, \( p_1 \), \( ppp_j \), \( p_{ij} \), \( W_{ij} \), \( W_{ij}^* \) and \( D_{ij}^* \) are defined as before.

Lemma(2): If the disturbances terms \( u_{ij} \) distributed gamma (r,r) in the derivation of Hajargasht and Rao multilateral system with expenditure share weighted arithmetic averages, then the H-R multilateral system is equivalent to the weighted maximum likelihood estimators of parameters of the CPD model.

VI. IKLE MULTILATERAL SYSTEM OF INDEX NUMBER:

The IKLE (1972) system, is an additive consistent system that is similar to the GK system and makes use of the two concepts of \( ppp_j \) and international prices \( p_i \) :

\[ \frac{1}{ppp_j} = \left[ \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{ij} w_{ij}} \right] \quad j = 1,2, \ldots, M \]  

(25)

\[ \frac{1}{p_i} = \left[ \frac{M}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} ppp_j w_{ij}^*} \right] \quad i = 1,2, \ldots, N \]  

(26)

Where: \( p_1 \), \( ppp_j \), \( p_{ij} \), \( W_{ij} \), \( W_{ij}^* \) and \( D_{ij}^* \) are defined as before.
Lemma(3): Hajargasht and Rao (2010), proved that if the disturbance term distributed gamma (r.r) the IKLE system of index number is the MLE of the parameters from the CPD model take the form:

$$P_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mu_i PPP_j} \cdot u_{ij} \quad (27)$$

Where $u_{ij}$ are random disturbance terms which are iid and as before they are assumed to follow a gamma distribution.

VII. THE GEARY-KHAMIS MULTILATERAL SYSTEM OF INDEX NUMBER:

The Geary-Khamis (Geary, 1958; Khamis, 1970) multilateral system, is a system of index number which based on the same two concepts $PPP_j$ of currencies, and averages of prices $P_i$. Computations based on a simultaneous equation system as follow:

$$PPP_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij} q_{ij}}{\Sigma_{i=1}^{N} P_{i} q_{ij}} \quad (28)$$

$$P_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} (p_{ij} q_{ij} PPP_j)}{\Sigma_{j=1}^{M} q_{ij}} \quad (29)$$

Where: $P_i$, $PPP_j$, $P_{ij}$ and $q_{ij}$ defined as before

Hajargasht and Rao (2010), proved that the G-K multilateral system of index number is equivalent to the method of moments (MOM) estimator of the parameters of the CPD models as follow:

$$p_{ij} = \frac{p_i}{PPP_j} u_{ij}^* \quad (30)$$

Where $u_{ij}^*$ are random disturbance terms, which are iid, also assumed that Eq (30) can be written in the following equivalent form.

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{P_i PPP_j} - 1 = u_{ij} \quad (31)$$

With $E(u_{ij}) = 1$ the form of Eq (31) is a non-additive nonlinear regression model.

A. Estimation of non-additive nonlinear models:

Hajargasht and Rao (2010) considered that the CPD model is a non-additive model and then used the method of moment estimation technique to estimate the parameters. Assume the nonlinear regression model:

$$r (y_i, x_i, \beta) = u_i, i=1,2,...,N \quad (32)$$

Where:

- $y_i$ is the dependent variable, $u_i$ is the random errors,
- $x_i$ is a $L \times 1$ vector and $\beta$ is a $1 \times K$ column vector, $r (y_i, x_i, \beta)$ is a nonlinear function and $i = 1,..., N$ indexes the number of observations and also assumed that $E( u_i) = 0 $. The starting point to base the estimation of parameters in on the moment conditions is:

$$E(X'u) = 0 \quad (33)$$

Where, $X$ is $N \times L$ matrix containing $x_i$’s and $u$ is an $N \times 1$ vector containing $u_1$’s.

Generally the estimation based on the following $K$ moment conditions:

$$E(R(x, \beta)' u) = 0 \quad (34)$$

Where: $R$ is a $N \times K$ vector of functions of $X$ and $\beta$.

By construction there are as many moment conditions as parameters. Therefore, a method of moment estimator can be obtained by solving following sample moment conditions:

$$\frac{1}{N} (R(x, \hat{\beta})' r(y_i, x_i, \hat{\beta})) = 0 \quad (35)$$

This estimator is asymptotically normal with variance matrix:

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{MM}) = \hat{\sigma}^2 [\hat{D}' \hat{R}]^{-1} \hat{R} \hat{D} [\hat{R}' \hat{D}]^{-1} \hat{D} \quad (36)$$

Where:

$$\hat{D} = \frac{\partial r(y_i, x_i, \hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta}, \hat{R} = R(X, \hat{\beta}), \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{N} \quad (37)$$

B. Estimation of PPPs under the optimal choice of moment conditions and standard errors using MOM:

To postulate the observed price of j-th commodity in i-th country, $p_{ij}$ is the product of three components, the purchasing power parity $PPP$, the price level of the j-th commodity relative to other commodities, $p_i$ and a random disturbance term as in Eq (30) as:

$$p_{ij} = p_i PPP_j u_{ij}^* \quad (30)$$

Where: $u_{ij}^*$ are random disturbance terms, which are iid.

Rewrite this model in the following equivalent form as in Eq (31) as:

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{P_i PPP_j} - 1 = u_{ij} \quad (31)$$

With $E(u_{ij}) = 1$ this equation is a non-additive nonlinear regression model. This model to be solved can be written as:
\[ \frac{1}{NM} R' r = 0 \]  

(38)

Where, \( R \) is an \((M + N)(M + N)\) matrix and it can be shown that most efficient choice of \( R \) is defined as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \cdots & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} \\
    \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \cdots & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} \\
    \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} & \cdots & \frac{P_{ij}}{p_{ij} PPP_j} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

To impose weights in the price index, the \( R \) matrix will be defined as:

\[
R' = \begin{bmatrix}
    w_{11} & 0 & 0 & w_{1N} & 0 \\
    0 & w_{22} & 0 & w_{2N} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & w_{3N} & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Then the system of normal equations has the following forms:

\[
p_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{p_{ij} w^*_{ij}}{p \ p \ p \ j} \right] \\
p_{ppp} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{p_{ij} w_{ij}}{p_i \ p \ p \ j} \right]
\]

(43)

This set of equations is the same as equations that defined the new system based on the expenditure share weighted arithmetic means to define \( ppp_j \)'s and \( p_i \)'s.

C. Estimation of PPPs in Gk system under the optimal choice of moment conditions and standard errors using MOM in:

To derive weighted Geary-Khamis (GK) price index, the quantity weighted price index \( R \) can be defined as:

\[
R' = \begin{bmatrix}
    q_{11} & q_{1N} & \cdots & q_{1N} & 0 \\
    q_{21} & q_{2N} & \cdots & q_{2N} & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    q_{N1} & q_{N2} & \cdots & q_{NN} & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(44)

Then the system of normal equations has the following forms:

\[
p_i = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{p_{ij} w^*_{ij}}{p \ p \ p \ j} \right] \\
p_{ppp} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{p_{ij} w_{ij}}{p_i \ p \ p \ j} \right]
\]

(41)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{p}_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{p_{ij}\bar{q}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} q_{ij}} \right] \\
\mathbf{pp}_{j} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{p_{ij}\bar{q}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} q_{ij}} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(45)

Which is identical to the equations of the Geary-Khamis system given in Eq (28) and Eq(29). Thus it is clear that the G-K PPPs and \( P_j \)'s are the method of moments (weighted) estimators of the parameters of the CPD model.
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