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#### Abstract

This paper studies three-machine scheduling problems in the situation when one has got the assignment but does not have one's own machines and has to take machines on rent to complete the assignment. Minimization of total rental cost of machines may be the criterion in this type of situation. Here, we have considered a rental policy in which second and third machines will not be taken on rent at times when the first job is completed on first and second machines respectively but these machines will be taken on rent subject to some criterion. The objective is: for a given sequence obtain the latest times at which the machines should be taken on rent so that total rental cost is minimum without altering the total elapsed time. We have obtained a simple and efficient algorithm, without using Branch-and-Bound technique. Numerical example is given to illustrate the algorithm.


Index Terms-Flow-shop, Scheduling, Idle Time, Completion Time, Elapsed Time, Rental Time, Rental Cost.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In flow-shop problem, situation can occur in practice when one has got the assignment but does not have one's own machines or does not have enough money for the purchase of machines, under these circumstances, may take machines on rent to complete the assignment. Minimization of total rental cost of machines will be the criterion in these types of situations.

The following renting policies generally exist:
Policy I: All the machines are taken on rent at one time and are returned also at one time.

Policy II: All the machines are taken on rent at one time and are returned as and when they are no longer required.

Policy III: All the machines are taken on rent as and when they are required and are returned as and when they are no longer required for processing.

Bagga [1] studied three-machine problem under policy $P_{1}$ and provide the sequence to minimize the total rental cost of machines. Under $P_{2}$; for three-machine flow-shop problem, Bagga and Ambika [2] provided a Branch-and-Bound algorithm.
In this paper we are considering rental policy in bi-criteria scheduling problems A survey of scheduling literature has revealed the desirability of an optimal schedule being evaluated by more than one performance measures or criteria. Various authors [3-16] have studied the flow-shop problems having more than one optimization measures. Gupta and Dudek [7] strongly recommended the use of combination of criteria total flow-time and total elapsed time. Dileepan and
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Sen [6] surveyed the bicriteria scheduling research for a singe machine. Chandersekhran [5] gave a technique based on Branch-and-Bound method and satisfaction of certain conditions to obtain a sequence which minimizes total flow-time subject to certain conditions which are to be satisfied. Bagga and Ambika [4] provided the procedure for obtaining sequence(s) in n-job, m-machine special flow-shop problems which gives minimum possible makespan while minimizing total flow-time. Narain and Bagga [11] studied n -job, m-machine special flow-shop problems which give minimum possible mean flowtime while minimizing total elapsed time. Narain and Bagga [8] determine the sequence which minimizes the total elapsed time subject to zero total idle time of machines i.e., machines should not remain idle once they start the first job. Narain and Bagga [10] studies n -job, m-machines flowshop problems when processing times of jobs on various machines follow certain conditions and the objective is to obtain a sequence which minimizes total elapsed time under no-idle constant. Narain and Bagga [9] studied n-job, 2-machine flowshop problem and provided an algorithm for obtaining a sequence which gives minimum possible mean flowtime under no-idle constraint.

This paper studies bi-criteria in three-machine flow-shop problems under rental Policy III. In this paper, Policy III is modified. Here second and third machines will not be taken on rent at times when the first job is completed on first and second machines respectively but these machines will be taken on rent subject to minimum total elapsed. The objective is: Obtain the time at which machines should be taken on rent so that total rental cost as minimum as possible without altering the total elapsed time. For any sequence $S$,

Total rental cost of machines

$$
=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[p_{i, j}(S)+I_{i, j}(S)\right] \times c_{j}
$$

Where $p_{i, j}(S)$ is the processing time of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}, I_{i, j}(S)$ is the idle time of machine $M_{j}$ for $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ and $C_{j}$ is rental cost per unit time of machine $M_{j}$. Here, the processing times $p_{i, j}(S)$ and rental $\operatorname{cost} C_{j}(S)$ are constant. Therefore, we can only reduce idle times $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S})$. To reduce idle times on machines, we delay the times of renting of machines to process jobs. We have obtained a simple and efficient algorithm to provide the times at which machines should be taken on rent so that total rental cost as minimum as possible without altering the total elapsed time.

Numerical example is given to illustrate the algorithm.

## II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

## Notations:

S: $\quad$ Sequence of jobs $1,2, \ldots, n$.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ : Machine $\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$.
$p_{i, j}(S)$ : Processing time of $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S})$ : Idle time of machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence S .
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ : $\quad$ Rental cost per unit time of machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
$H_{j}(S)$ : The time when $M_{j}$ is taken on rent for sequence $S$.
$Z_{i, j}(S)$ : Completion time of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence S on machine $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
$Z_{i, j}^{\prime}(S)$ : Completion time of $i^{\text {th }}$ job of sequence $S$ on machine $M_{j}$ when $M_{j}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{S})$.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})$ : Total time for which $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is required when $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})$. $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{j}=1,2,3$.

Let $n$ jobs require processing over three machines $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ in the order $\mathrm{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{3}$.

Theorem 2.1: If we start processing jobs on $M_{3}$ at time $H_{3}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}$, then $Z_{k, 3}$ will remain unaltered.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}, 3}^{\prime}$ be the completion time of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ job on machine $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ when $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$. The proof of the theorem is based on the method of mathematical induction.
For $\mathrm{k}=1$;
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=1}^{1} I_{i, 3}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3} \\
& =\mathrm{p}_{1,1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=1$.
Let the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}$
For $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}+1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}^{\prime} & =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i, 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}+\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}, 0\right)\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \max _{\left.\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}\right)\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}}\right. \\
& =\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}, 3}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}+1,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the result holds for $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}+1$ also.
Hence, by mathematical induction this theorem holds for all k , where $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.

If $M_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{3}$, where $H_{3}=Z_{n, 3}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$, then total elapsed time $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}$ is not altered and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is engaged for minimum time equal to sum of the processing times of all the jobs on $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. Moreover, it can be easily shown that if $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, then

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 3}
$$

Lemma 2.1: If $M_{3}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}$, then
$H_{3} \geq Z_{l, 2}$ and $Z_{k, 3}^{\prime} \geq Z_{k, 2}$ for $k>1$.
Proof: $\mathrm{H}_{3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{I}_{1,3}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $\sum_{i=2}^{n} I_{i, 3} \geq 0$, therefore, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{1,2}$
Now, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3}=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}, 0\right)$
Therefore, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$

Since, $\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} I_{i, 3} \geq 0$,
Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i, 3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3} \geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}$
i.e., $Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime} \geq Z_{k}$,

Hence, this lemma is proved.
Theorem 2.3: Total elapsed time will not be altered, if $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{2}=\min \left\{Y_{k}\right\}$, where

$$
Y_{1}=H_{3}-p_{1,2}
$$

and

$$
Y_{k}=Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} ; \quad k=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Proof: $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
For $\mathrm{k}=1$;
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$

From Lemma 3.1;
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$
Now,
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}, \mathrm{Z}_{1,2}\right)$
From equations (1) and (2);
$\mathrm{Z}_{1,2}^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{H}_{3}$
For $\mathrm{k}>1$;
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} \leq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}^{\prime}$

From Lemma 2.1;
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}^{\prime}$
Now,
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}\right)$
From equation (4) and (5);
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}, 2}^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}-1,3}^{\prime} ; \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Taking $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}$ in equation (6);
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1,3}^{\prime}$
Total elapsed time $=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1,3}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}-1,3}^{\prime}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}  \tag{7}\\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3} \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will not be altered if $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.

Theorem 2.4: Total elapsed time will increase, if $M_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $H_{2}>\min \left\{Y_{k}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad Y_{l}=H_{3}-p_{l, 2} \\
& \text { and } \\
& Y_{k}=Z_{k-1,3}^{\prime}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2} ; \quad k=2,3, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: There arise two cases:
Case 1: $\mathrm{H}_{2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}=\mathrm{H}_{3}$

$$
\text { i.e., } \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}>\mathrm{H}_{3}
$$

Therefore, total elapsed time $\geq \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{1,2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$

$$
\geq \mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will increase if $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}>\mathrm{Y}_{1}$.

Case 2: Let $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Let $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}$, then
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}$
Now,
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}=\max \left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}\right)$
Therefore, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime} \geq \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}$
From equation (8);
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{r}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{i, 2}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}$
i.e., $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}>\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}$
$\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will start processing job r at time $=\max \left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, total elapsed time $\geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}, 2}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}$

$$
\geq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r}-1,3}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}
$$

Hence, total elapsed time will increase if $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ starts processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{2}>\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.

By Theorem 2.1; the starting of processing jobs at time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will reduce the idle time of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to zero and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will be required only for time equivalent to the sum of the processing times of all the jobs on it. Therefore, total rental cost of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ will be minimum (least). Total rental cost of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ will always be minimum (least), since idle time of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is always zero. Therefore, the objective is to minimize the rental cost of machine $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.

The following algorithm provides the procedure to determine the times at which machines should be taken on rent to minimize the total rental cost without altering the total elapsed time.

## III. ALGORITHM

## Algorithm 3.1:

Step 1: Let $S$ be the given sequence.
Step 2: Compute $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}(\mathrm{~S})$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}(\mathrm{~S})$.
Step 3: Compute rental time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ for sequence $S$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}(\mathrm{~S})-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 3}(S)
$$

Step 4: For sequence $S$, compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Y}_{1}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{p}_{1,2}(\mathrm{~S}) \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_{i, 3}(S)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i, 2}(S) ; \\
& \mathrm{k}=2,3, \ldots, \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 5: Compute rental time $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ for sequence S

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n} .
$$

Step 6: Compute total rental cost for sequence $S$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~S})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 1} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}(\mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}(\mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{3} \\
\text { IV. EXAMPLE }
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 4.1: Consider the 10-Job, 3-Machine flow-shop problem with processing times in hours as given in Table 1. The rental costs per unit time for machines $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ are Rs. 50, Rs. 100 and Rs. 75 per hour respectively. Jobs are processed in the sequence 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10.

Table 1: Processing Times of Jobs on Machines

| Jobs | Machines |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |


| 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 | 9 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| 5 | 5 | 11 | 5 |
| 6 | 15 | 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 10 | 2 | 5 |
| 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 |

Applying Algorithm 3.1;
The given sequence $S=1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10$ (Step 1). For determining the completion time of last job on machines $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $M_{3}$, this sequence is enumerated and its completion time In-Out is given in Table 2.

Table 2: Completion Times In-Out for Sequence $S$

| Jobs | Machines |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ <br> In-Out | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ <br> In-Out | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ <br> In-Out |
|  | $0-2$ | $2-4$ | $4-7$ |
| 2 | $2-6$ | $6-11$ | $11-18$ |
| 3 | $6-15$ | $15-18$ | $18-22$ |
| 4 | $15-20$ | $20-27$ | $27-39$ |
| 5 | $20-25$ | $27-38$ | $39-44$ |
| 6 | $25-40$ | $40-45$ | $45-51$ |
| 7 | $40-50$ | $50-52$ | $52-57$ |
| 8 | $50-54$ | $54-59$ | $59-61$ |
| 9 | $54-60$ | $60-63$ | $63-67$ |
| 10 | $60-67$ | $67-68$ | $68-69$ |

Thus, the completion time $\mathrm{Z}_{10,2}=68$ hours and $\mathrm{Z}_{10,3}=69$ hours (Step 2).
The rental time $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ of machine $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ for sequence S is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{3} & =\mathrm{Z}_{10,3}-\sum_{i=1}^{10} p_{i, 3} \\
& =69-49=20
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, machine $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ should be taken on rent after 20 hours of starting the processing of the first job on machine $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ (Step 3).

For sequence S ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}_{1} & =\mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{p}_{1,2} \\
& =20-2=18 \\
\mathrm{Y}_{2}= & \mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{1} p_{i, 3}-\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i, 2} \\
= & 20+3-7=16 \\
\mathrm{Y}_{3} & =\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{i, 3}-\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i, 2} \\
& =20+(3+7)-(2+5+3) \\
& =20+10-10=20
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y}_{4} & =\mathrm{H}_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i, 3}-\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_{i, 2} \\
& =20+(3+7+4)-(2+5+3+7) \\
& =20+14-17=17
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing in this way,
$\mathrm{Y}_{5}=18 ; \mathrm{Y}_{6}=18 ; \mathrm{Y}_{7}=22 ; \mathrm{Y}_{8}=22 ; \mathrm{Y}_{9}=21$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{10}=24($ Step 4).

Rental time $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ of machine $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ for sequence S is
$\mathrm{H}_{2}=\min \left\{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$
$=\min \{18,16,20,17,18,18,22,22,21,24\}$
$=16$
Therefore, machine $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ should be taken on rent after 16 hours of starting the processing of the first job on machine $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ (Step 5).

Total rental cost of machines for sequence $S$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~S}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i, 1} \times \mathrm{C}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 2}(\mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}, 3}(\mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \times \mathrm{C}_{3} \\
& =67 \times 50+(68-16) \times 100+(69-20) \times 75 \\
& =3350+52 \times 100+49 \times 75 \\
& =3350+5200+3675 \\
& =12225 \quad(\text { Step } 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for sequence $S=1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10$ the minimum total rental cost is Rs. 12,225 without altering the total elapsed time ( 69 hours) when machine $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is taken on rent in the starting of processing the jobs, $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ after 16 hours of starting the processing of first job on machine $M_{1}$ and $M_{3}$ after 20 hours of starting the processing of first job on machine

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proved three theorems to find out the times at which machines should be taken on rent so that total elapsed do not change when we delay the processing of jobs on machines. A simple and efficient algorithm is developed by using these theorems which provide the times at which machines should be taken on rent so that total rental cost is as minimum as possible without altering the total elapsed time.
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